January 2, 2019

Debra J. Mashek, PhD Heterodox Academy Executive Director <u>mashek@heterodoxacademy.org</u> HMC Professor of Social Psychology <u>mashek@hmc.edu</u>

Re: January 11-12 NAS Conference on Disgrace in Higher Ed

Dear Dr. Mashek,

I am writing to notify you, HMC Heterodox Academy members, and a few others of the January 11-12, 2019 National Association of Scholars Conference at Chapman University "Disgrace: Shame, Punishment, and Redemption in American Higher Education" (<u>https://www.eventbrite.com/e/disgrace-shame-punishment-and-redemption-in-american-higher-education-tickets-50664821846</u>). The theme of this conference is the current domination of colleges and universities by the progressive left and the suppression of 'politically incorrect' views using false accusations, shaming, and intimidation. Part of my 'Silencing Dissent' talk will focus on HMC, which has moved dramatically to the left and which silences dissenting viewpoints like mine. As the leader of an academy devoted to open inquiry and viewpoint diversity, I request that you persuade at least one person from HMC to attend this conference. The lack of any HMC attendee will reinforce the validity of the theme of this conference.

Thank you very much for your consideration and assistance.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH, FFACE HMC Class of 1965 Co-Valedictorian UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute <u>http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org</u> jenstrom@ucla.edu (310) 472-4274

cc:

Eliot C. Bush <eliot_bush@hmc.edu> Christopher A. Stone <stone@cs.hmc.edu> David Vosburg <david_vosburg@hmc.edu> Darryl F. Wright <darryl_wright@hmc.edu> From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Sent: Monday, July 30, 2018 2:07 PM
To: 'Debra J. Mashek' <mashek@heterdoxacademy.org>
Subject: FW: Request to Discuss Heterodox Academy & Diversity at HMC

July 30, 2018

Debra J. Mashek, PhD Heterodox Academy Executive Director <u>mashek@heterdoxacademy.org</u>

Dear Dr. Mashek,

I have not received a response to my July 25, 2018 email message below, which was sent to your HMC email address. Thus, I am resending the message to your Heterdox Academy email address. Please acknowledge receipt of my message, even if you do not have time to discuss the content of my message or the attachment.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH, FFACE HMC Class of 1965

From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, July 25, 2018 7:46 AM
To: 'Debra J. Mashek' <mashek@hmc.edu>
Cc: 'Peter Wood' <pwood@nas.org>
Subject: Request to Discuss Heterodox Academy & Diversity at HMC

July 25, 2018

Debra J. Mashek, PhD Heterodox Academy Executive Director HMC Professor of Social Psychology <u>mashek@hmc.edu</u>

Dear Dr. Mashek,

I am a 1965 physics graduate of Harvey Mudd College (HMC), I have had a long academic career at UCLA, and I am a member of the Heterodox Academy and the National Association of Scholars (NAS). I recently learned that you left your position as a HMC Professor to become Executive Director of the Heterodox Academy (<u>https://heterodoxacademy.org/debra-mashek/</u>). I am writing now because we are both concerned about "the lack of ideological diversity on American college campuses" (<u>https://heterodoxacademy.org/why-im-leaving-the-academy-to-help-save-it/</u>).

I would like to discuss with you these three items related to the lack of ideological diversity at HMC and UCLA: 1) October 31, 2016 NAS Proposal to W.M. Keck Foundation "Assessing Debatability in Undergraduate Science Education in California"; 2) April 24, 2018 NAS Article "Homogeneous: The Political Affiliations of Elite Liberal Arts College Faculty" (https://www.nas.org/articles/homogenous_political_affiliations_of_elite_liberal); and 3) April 23, 2015 cancellation of my long-scheduled April 28, 2015 HMC Physics Colloquium. The three items are briefly summarized in the attached PDF.

Please let me know if you are available to discuss these items with me over the telephone. In addition, let me know if you are available to discuss these items with NAS President Peter Wood, who I have copied on this message.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH, FFACE HMC Class of 1965 Co-Valedictorian UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute <u>http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org</u> jenstrom@ucla.edu (310) 472-4274 From: John Townsend <townsend@g.hmc.edu> Subject: Cancellation of HMC Physics Colloquium Date: Thu, 23 Apr 2015 20:43:41 -0700 To: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Dear Jim,

With regret, I am writing to inform you that I have chosen to rescind the invitation for you to give a physics colloquium at HMC next week. I have just discovered that this week you sent an email to one of our students in which you described our commencement speaker Mary Nichols in the following way:

I can make a strong case that she is the most dishonest and unethical government official in California.

While we are open to having speakers on campus with differing points of view, I think it is untenable for one of our speakers to attack another in such a fashion, especially in an email to a current student who will be attending commencement. We need to be willing to treat each of our speakers with respect. I regret to say that I think you have crossed that line and consequently I feel I must disinvite you from talking next week.

Sincerely,

John Townsend

Date: Mon, 20 Apr 2015 13:25:52 -0700 To: Priya Donti cpdonti@hmc.edu> From: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu> Subject: CARB Chair Mary Nichols Should Not Speak at HMC

April 20, 2015

Priya Donti '15 Co-President HMC ESW/MOSS pdonti@hmc.edu

Dear Ms. Donti,

A few days ago I read that CARB Chair Mary D. Nichols will give the keynote address at the May 17 HMC Commencement Ceremony (<u>https://www.hmc.edu/about-hmc/2015/04/14/queen-of-green-mary-d-nichols-to-speak-at-harvey-mudd-commencement/</u>). This announcement identifies you as the person who recommended her as commencement speaker, with the quote "Mary Nichols is a role model in spurring social change at the state and national levels. I think we, as scientists and technologists, can learn a lot from her about using our work to improve

society." I would like to fully understand the selection process, because Nichols is NOT a role model in any positive sense and HMC students CANNOT learn anything positive from her. Nichols is an activist environmental attorney who implements scientifically unjustified regulations, not a scientist or engineer who works to improve society. I can make a strong case that she is the most dishonest and unethical government official in California. A sample of my evidence is contained in the statements below by six diverse Californians. You need to carefully examine the links and learn about the massive misconduct of CARB and Nichols. Please call or email me as soon as possible, so we can discuss why Nichols should not speak at HMC.

Thank you very much for your prompt attention to my request.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H. UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute and HMC '65 jenstrom@ucla.edu (310) 472-4274

Comments on CARB by Two California Officials:

November 19, 2009 YouTube: CARB Member John B. Telles Statement to Board Regarding CARB Employee Fraud (https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yUAbJ8GeLUA)

April 22, 2010 John & Ken KFI Radio Show Comments by LA County District Attorney Steve Cooley on CARB and Nichols (http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Cooley042210.wav)

Comments on CARB by Four California Businessmen:

August 1, 2009 Comments on How CARB is Destroying California by Norman 'Skip' Brown of Sacramento

(<u>http://youtu.be/-ObuSYPM-lU</u>)

April 22, 2010 CARB "Dream Killer" Comments by Jon Cloud of El Cajon (http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Cloud042210.way)

December 7, 2012 Diesel Regulation Comments to SCAQMD by Randy Thomas of Watts (http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Thomas120712.MP3)

March 30, 2015 YouTube on the Corruption of CARB by Red Smith of Redding (<u>https://youtu.be/aHrZWTuXURE</u>)

KillCARB.org Website Dedicated to Putting an End to a Rogue State Agency (<u>http://killcarb.org/</u>)

http://physics.hmc.edu/colloquium/570/

HMC Physics Colloquium

Tuesdays at 16:30 in Drinkward Recital Hall, Shanahan Center for Teaching and Learning, Room B480

Jim Enstrom ('65)

University of California at Los Angeles

Using a 1965 HMC B.S. for a Fascinating Career in Physics and Epidemiology April 28, 2015

I will describe how I have used my 1965 HMC B.S. in physics to rise, at least briefly, to the top of two vastly different branches of science: first, a very hard science—experimental elementary particle physics at Stanford University, and second, a very soft science—environmental epidemiology at UCLA.

My talk will explain the important roles that HMC, creative thinking, academic freedom, and scientific integrity have played in my career. I will relate these factors to my current area of epidemiologic research: air pollution health effects, something that impacted every person at HMC in the early 1960s.

I will conclude by making suggestions for current HMC physics majors who want to pursue a career in physics or a related science, based on my experience as the sixth HMC physics major to obtain a Ph.D. in physics.



Department of Physics 301 Platt Blvd., Claremont, CA 91711 909-621-8024, 909-621-8887 (fax) <u>Directions</u> Subject: Re: Title & Abstract for April 28 HMC Physics Colloquium From: John Townsend <townsend@g.hmc.edu> Date: Tue, 7 Apr 2015 09:41:53 -0700 Cc: Tom Helliwell <thomas_helliwell@hmc.edu> To: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Dear Jim,

Sorry for the delay in responding. I have been focusing on our April 14 colloquium and postponed thinking about April 28. I'll talk to Tom about introducing you if he is available. It would be nice to have him do it. You are certainly welcome to invite any Claremont folks or others to attend. Our colloquia are open to everyone. We start at 4:30 pm and, after the introduction, we aim to end at 5:30 pm. If you are able to keep your presentation on the shorter side, say 55 or even 50 minutes, you are likely to get more response from the students with questions before they run off to dinner. You are invited to stay for dinner, too, if your schedule permits. The colloquium meets in Shanahan B480. There are refreshments at 4:15 pm outside the room. I have classes on Tuesday afternoon until 4 pm, so I will look for you as soon as I am free.

Finally, you question about a power point demonstration suggests that you are not bringing your own laptop. If so, you can use my Mac or we can find a pc somewhere that runs power point for your slides.

Best,

John

On Apr 7, 2015, at 8:21 AM, James E. Enstrom wrote:

Dear John,

Please respond to the points in my April 2 message below. In particular, I need to know how long I should talk. Also, please let me know if any students and/or faculty, like you and Tom, would like to meet with me after the Colloquium.

Thanks,

Jim

Date: Thu, 02 Apr 2015 14:20:52 -0700 To: John Townsend <<u>townsend@g.hmc.edu</u>> From: "James E. Enstrom" <<u>jenstrom@ucla.edu</u>> Subject: Title & Abstract for April 28 HMC Physics Colloquium

Dear John,

Below is the Title and Abstract for my April 28 HMC Physics Colloquium. Please let me know if they are acceptable. Also, please let me know how long I should talk, how you will handle my PPT file, and the time and location of the colloquium. If he can attend, I would like Tom Helliwell to introduce me. Finally, can I invite a few Claremont area friends who are not part of HMC Physics, but who are interested in my talk?

I look forward to seeing you on April 28.

Best regards,

Jim

Using a 1965 HMC B.S. for a Fascinating Career in Physics and Epidemiology

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H. UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute Co-Valedictorian, HMC Class of 1965

I will describe how I have used my 1965 HMC B.S. in physics to rise, at least briefly, to the top of two vastly different branches of science: first, a very hard science--experimental elementary particle physics at Stanford University, and second, a very soft science--environmental epidemiology at UCLA. My talk will explain the important roles that HMC, creative thinking, academic freedom, and scientific integrity have played in my career. I will relate these factors to my current area of epidemiologic research: air pollution health effects, something that impacted every person at HMC in the early 1960s. I will conclude by making suggestions for current HMC physics majors who want to pursue a career in physics or a related science, based on my experience as the sixth HMC physics major to obtain a Ph.D. in physics.

Subject: Re: Follow-up re HMC Physics Colloquium--->April 28, 2015 From: John Townsend <townsend@g.hmc.edu> Date: Fri, 30 Jan 2015 08:23:12 -0800 To: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Hi Jim,

I have you on the schedule for April 28. We are looking forward to your talk. My only advisory is to be sure pitch your talk to junior-senior physics majors. I will need a title and abstract at least a week before the presentation.

Thanks,

John

On Jan 29, 2015, at 7:46 AM, James E. Enstrom wrote:

> Dear John,

>

> I will be in Claremont on Saturday morning, February 14 to participate in the Ducey Cup at the CMC Tennis Center (<u>http://cmsathletics.org/sports/mten/2014-15/releases/ducey-cup-info</u>).
Please let me know if you (or Peter) would like to meet with me briefly on that day to discuss my April 28 HMC Physics Colloquium. If you are not available on that day, please confirm my colloquium by email or phone. I look forward to speaking at HMC.

```
>
> Best regards,
>
> Jim Enstrom '65
> (310) 472-4274
>
>
>
>> Date: Wed, 29 Oct 2014 14:25:04 -0700
>> To: John Townsend <townsend@g.hmc.edu>
>> From: "James E. Enstrom" <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
>> Subject: Re: HMC Physics Colloquium--->April 28, 2015
>>
>>
>> Dear John,
>>
```

>> Thank you very much for giving me the opportunity to speak at a HMC Physics Colloquium. Of the dates that you mentioned below, April 28, 2015 will work best for me. I plan to attend my 50-year HMC Reunion during May 1-3, 2015. However, I am flexible if you need to change this date. At some point, please send me information about the general format for a physics colloquium. Also, let me know if you want me inform my contacts in the UCLA Department of Physics and Astronomy of the HMC physics faculty search.

```
>>
>> Thank you again for this opportunity.
>>
>> Best regards,
>>
>> Jim Enstrom
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>> At 05:40 PM 10/28/2014, you wrote:
>>> Dear Jim,
>>>
```

>>> Your email to Peter Saeta about the possibility of giving a physics colloquium at HMC has just reached me, since last week I was put in charge of lining up colloquium speakers for the spring semester. As you know, we are doing a search for a new physics faculty member, so we are leaving the slots at the beginning of the semester free. However, we are likely to have a couple of openings in March and one in April, tentatively March 10, March 31, and April 28. If one of those dates hits the mark for you, we would very much like to have you speak. We typically try to adhere to an alternate week format, but we can also make adjustments if necessary to accommodate our speakers. Moreover, if you are flexible as to the date and don't need to settle on a date now, it would give me a little more room in finding times that fit for our other potential speakers.

>>>

>>> Best regards,

>>>

>>> John Townsend

A Matter of Concern to the HMC Alumni Association Board of Governors

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H. B.S. Physics from HMC 1965

December 16, 2013

I am very concerned that my November 14, 2013 request to HMC President Maria Klawe to give a lecture on campus about my June 9, 2013 Forbes.com commentary (see last pages below) has been rejected by HMC Dean of the Faculty Jeffrey D. Groves (see two email messages below). My request is explained in my November 29, 2013 email message to David Sonner (see below).

My request relates to five general concepts that are very important for HMC faculty, students, and alumni to understand: 1) scientific integrity, 2) academic freedom, 3) Lysenkoism ("the manipulation or distortion of the scientific process as a way to reach a predetermined conclusion as dictated by an ideological bias, often related to social or political objective"), 4) academic diversity, particularly as it relates to the current HMC faculty and a current HMC education, and 5) how my career has been influenced by my 1961-1965 HMC education.

I received a HMC education that followed the founding concept, as stated in the 1982-83 HMC Catalog: "In essense the idea was to educate young men and women in engineering who would be well trained in the physical sciences, to educate young men and women in the sciences who would be trained in engineering, *and to provide both with sound backgrounds in the humanities and social sciences* so that they might have a clear understanding of the impact of their work on the rest of society."

From: Jeff Groves <groves@hmc.edu> To: "'jenstrom@ucla.edu'" <jenstrom@ucla.edu> CC: "'david_sonner@verizon.net'" <david_sonner@verizon.net> Subject: following up Date: Fri, 13 Dec 2013 21:57:51 +0000

Dear Jim:

Following up on my e-mail of the 6th, I shared your materials with several faculty members who have relevant research areas, but I could not find a venue for you to present your talk. I then contacted David Sonner to see if perhaps he had ideas about an alternate venue somehow connected to the Alumni Association. David did not see an event where your talk would fit, but he did suggest that he would be willing to make contact with the Claremont University Club on your behalf to see if that organization might host your talk. My understanding is that he will follow up on that idea, and I hope that it works out.

I don't believe we've met before, but I hope we'll have the opportunity to do so sometime at an alumni event.

Best,

--Jeff.

Jeffrey D. Groves Professor of Literature and Dean of the Faculty Harvey Mudd College 301 Platt Boulevard Claremont, CA 91711 909-621-8122

From: Jeff Groves <groves@hmc.edu> To: "jenstrom@ucla.edu" <jenstrom@ucla.edu> Subject: your materials Date: Sat, 7 Dec 2013 00:33:56 +0000

Dear Jim:

Greetings from HMC. Earlier today Karen Angemi passed an envelope to me on behalf of President Klawe. It contains your Forbes piece and your presentation abstract, both of which I will look at carefully early next week. Once I've had a look and talked to a couple of faculty members in relevant fields, I'll get back to you about whether we might plan for a campus talk. Thanks for thinking about the college in that respect!

Best,

--Jeff.

Jeffrey D. Groves Professor of Literature and Dean of the Faculty Harvey Mudd College 301 Platt Boulevard Claremont, CA 91711 909-621-8122

November 29, 2013

David Sonner '80 Chair, HMC Fundd Committee HMC Alumni Association Board of Governors

Dear David,

I received your November 2013 letter about the Annual Mudd Fund. I looked up your address in the Alumni Directory and I am writing you personally because of my concerns about HMC. I attended HMC when it was a brand new college and I have donated to the Mudd Fund essentially every year since I graduated co-valedictorian of the Class of 1965. I have had a long and successful career as an epidemiologist/physicist at UCLA and I have benefitted greatly from the physics education I received at HMC half a century ago. During the past five years I have tried to interest several HMC professors, including some who taught me, about the relevance of my HMC education then to my air pollution health effects research now. This matter is directly related to scientific integrity and to multi-billion dollar environmental regulations that impact California and US businesses. My enclosed June 9, 2010 Forbes.com commentary provides basic information on this issue.

I most recently met with Maria Klawe on November 14, 2013 at the Los Angeles stop on her Mudd Matters tour. She agreed to discuss my research and the material I gave her with the Dean of the Faculty, but I have received no response. I have been willing to give a free lecture on campus and to explain how this issue could be used for a few student projects. The faculty members that I have contacted have not expressed any interest in my research or the related issues of scientific integrity or regulatory impact. Thus, I have reluctantly concluded that this disinterest is due to a lack of "academic diversity" in the current HMC faculty. The issue of academic diversity at HMC concerns me very much and I would like to discuss it with you.

Please let me know if you want to discuss this matter.

Best regards,

James E. Enstrom '65

Los Angeles, CA jenstrom@ucla.edu (310) 472-4274 http://www.forbes.com/2010/06/08/california-diesel-regulation-pollution-opinions-columnistshenry-i-miller-james-e-enstrom.html





The Rationalist California's Diesel Regulations Are Hot Air

Henry I. Miller and James E. Enstrom 06.09.10, 6:00 PM ET

If you were strapped for cash and lived in North Dakota, would you spend money on hurricane insurance? That would be no dumber than the regulations of the California Air Resources Board, designed to reduce the form of "air pollution" known as diesel particulate matter. The scientific rationale for these enormously expensive regulations and the process by which they were enacted are dubious, and their costs impose a huge economic burden on the state. And since California is often regarded as a bellwether of environmental regulation, these rules could presage a disturbing national trend.

In July 2007 and December 2008 CARB, which is part of the California Environmental Protection Agency, approved wide-ranging regulation of off-road diesel vehicles and equipment ("Off-Road Rule") and on-road diesel vehicles ("Truck Rule"). These rules, which are being phased in over several years, apply to virtually all diesel engines in California. It is estimated that the cost of full implementation to the affected industries, primarily construction and trucking, will exceed \$10 billion. This will further burden the state's ailing economy, which already faces a \$20 billion-plus budget deficit, 12.5% unemployment and confiscatory taxes.

Given their astronomical costs and ripple effects--including the likelihood that other states will emulate California--it is essential to know how sound the rationale is for these regulations.

Consider the definition of "pollution." Pollution is the introduction of harmful substances or products into the environment. So is CARB's target indeed harmful? That is the \$10 billion question.

The primary evidence for harm is the small but statistically significant association found in a few national epidemiologic studies between total mortality and fine particulate air pollution (technically known as PM2.5, the very small-particulate soot that originates from diesel engines, forest fires, other sources of combustion and dust). Failing to appreciate the critical distinction between *association* and *causation*, CARB assumed that PM2.5 exposure *causes* higher mortality and leapt to the conclusion that 18,000 premature deaths per year in California are

associated with PM2.5 exposure, with 3,500 of these due to diesel particle matter. This is the primary rationale for reducing PM2.5 exposure.

However, both the data used by CARB to make its decisions and the integrity of its procedures are suspect. Several major studies fail to support a relationship between PM2.5 and total mortality (also known as premature deaths) in California. For example, a 2000 Health Effects Institute re-analysis of the 1995 American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study showed nationwide variation in PM2.5 mortality risk during 1982 through 1989, with little or no excess risk apparent in the western United States, including in California. In addition, a large 2005 UCLA study found no relationship between PM2.5 and total deaths in the California Cancer Prevention Study from 1983 to 2002; and a 2008 Johns Hopkins University study showed nationwide variation in PM2.5 mortality risk from 2000 to 2005 in U.S. Medicare enrollees, with no excess risk in California, Oregon or Washington.

Furthermore, key CARB research staff and CARB-funded scientists withheld or obfuscated epidemiologic findings that conflicted with their preconceived conclusions about PM2.5 health effects. In spite of the above null epidemiologic evidence and almost 150 pages of critical comments submitted to CARB in July 2008, the October 2008 Final CARB Staff Report (the "Tran Report," named after lead staffer Hien Tran) still claimed that PM2.5 and diesel particulate matter were responsible for the above-mentioned number of premature deaths, and in December 2008 CARB members unanimously approved the draconian Truck Rule.

Subsequently, the Truck Rule has come under intense scrutiny, with serious scientific, legal and economic objections raised in various forums. Notably, it was revealed that Tran lied about his academic credentials and that CARB chair Mary Nichols failed to inform all board members of this material misrepresentation prior to their vote. As a result, in November 2009 CARB member John Telles requested that the Truck Rule be set aside and asked for a reexamination of the science underlying CARB's actions.

At a February 2010 CARB symposium on the relationship between PM2.5 and premature deaths, many respected experts on PM2.5 expressed serious reservations about epidemiology, statistics, toxicology, economics, risk-benefit and access to data. All of these concerns are relevant to the validity of alleged PM2.5 health effects and to the justification for the CARB diesel regulations. In addition, the lead CARB-funded scientist, Michael Jerrett of the University of California, Berkeley, revealed that his detailed analysis of the American Cancer Society Cancer Prevention Study showed no relationship between PM2.5 and total deaths in California during 1982 to 2000. In other words, his results agreed with the null findings in the other studies cited above.

Thus, extensive evidence from several independent sources fails to demonstrate that PM2.5 causes premature deaths in California. In addition, PM2.5 levels in the state are currently the lowest ever recorded, and as of 2005 California had the sixth highest life expectancy and the fourth lowest age-adjusted total death rate in the U.S.

Given CARB's procedural irregularities, the lack of evidence that PM2.5 actually inflicts significant harm on Californians, and the huge financial burden that the regulations impose on the state's industries--one that will ultimately be passed along to consumers--CARB should suspend the rules and request a competent, independent reassessment of their scientific rationale. Otherwise, like vapor from a tailpipe, billions of dollars will vanish into thin air.

Henry Miller, a physician and molecular biologist, is a fellow at Stanford University's Hoover Institution. James Enstrom is an epidemiologist and physicist at the UCLA Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center. http://universityclubofclaremont.org/ http://universityclubofclaremont.org/archives/2014 programs.html

University Club of Claremont Calendar of Events

University Club programs are open to the public and are held **Tuesdays at noon** in the Padua Room of the Hughes Community Center, 1700 Danbury Road, Claremont, California. A full buffet lunch, beginning at 11:45 AM, is available for \$12.00. For those who wish to come only for coffee and dessert, the fee is \$6.00. Membership applications are available at each meeting. <u>Map to Hughes Community Center</u>

January 28, 2014 Luncheon Meeting Dr. James Enstrom



Dr. James Enstrom, Research Professor UCLA, presented "How Environmental Extremism is Hurting California"

January 28 – "How Environmental Extremism is Hurting California"

Speaker – Dr. James E. Enstrom, Research Professor UCLA

Dr. Enstrom has been conducting environmental epidemiologic research at the UCLA School of Public Health since 1973 and has been president of the Scientific Integrity Institute since 2005. He will describe how activist environmental scientists have exaggerated the health effects of fine particulate matter and how these exaggerations have resulted in multi-billion dollar air pollution regulations that are hurting many California businesses. He will explain the ongoing efforts to correct the flawed health effects evidence and associated regulations. Dr. Enstrom earned his B.S. from Harvey Mudd College, where he was co-valedictorian of the class of 1965, and his Ph.D. from Stanford University, where his dissertation advisor was Nobel Laureate Melvin Schwartz. He later earned his M.P.H. in epidemiology from UCLA.



Harvey Mudd College Alumni Association 178th Board of Governors Meeting Saturday 29 March 2014 Linde Activities Center

Governors in attendance

Christopher Abella '12	[Skype]	Jennifer Lindsay '02	
Brooke Basinger '01		Ron Lloyd '80	
Robert De Pietro '69		Geoff Meltzner '95	[Skype]
Jason Fredrickson '99 (VP)	[phone, then proxy]	Lowell Reade '12	[Skype p.m.]
David Gross '08		Rick Simon '76 (S)	
Glen Hastings '93 (P)		David Sonner '80 (T)	
Robert Herling '67		Jessica Spaulding '03	[Skype]
Jerome Jackson '76		Dee West '65 P92/93	

Governors represented by proxy

Hanhan Li '05	proxy by Mala Arthur '82
David Ho '86	proxy by Bill Hartman '62
Jason Fredrickson '99	proxy by Carolyn Dharm '00
Lisa Hebert '80	proxy by Leonard Daly '80
Gerald Van Hecke '61	proxy by Matt Dharm '98
Lowell Reade '12	proxy a.m. by Elly Schofield '13

Governors absent

Gary Kiefer '70

Emeriti in attendance

Mala Arthur '82	[proxy for Hanhan Li '05]
Bill Hartman '62	[proxy for David Ho '86]

Guests in attendance

Carolyn Dharm '00 Bob Kossler '80 [phone] Cynthia Robertson '80 P16 Karen Taggart '77 Jim Enstrom '65 Liz Baughman, Senior Director of Advancement Services and Research Joanna Callahan, Coordinator of Alumni and Parent Relations Jennifer Green, Director of Alumni and Parent Relations Matt Leroux, Assistant Vice President for Development & Constituent Programs Dan Macaluso, Vice President for Advancement Arran McNabb '06, Assistant Director of Alumni and Parent Relations Angie Pfeiffer, Associate Director of Alumni and Parent Relations Cameron Pinckney, Associate Director of Annual Giving Michael Saffron '15, (newly elected) ASHMC President Jennifer Sharma '14, ASHMC representative

Action Items

- 1. Everyone should complete their campaign screening book, handed out at the meeting.
- 2. Everyone attending should sign up for Alumni Weekend responsibilities.
- 3. Send any last-minute suggestions about AW to Angie Pfeiffer and Jennifer Green.
- 4. Send any suggestions/volunteering for awards presenters to Jerome Jackson.
- 5. The motion to change the Bylaws concerning the Consent Agenda was tabled permanently.
- 6. The motion to change the Bylaws to allow the Executive Committee to approve, on a case-by-case basis, a one-year extension of the term for a committee chair was passed.
- 7. The question of dealing with the conflict of wording regarding "member" between the Constitution and the Bylaws was remanded to the Executive Committee.
- 8. Nominations for AABOG officers were opened. Nominations can still be made, and elections will be at the June meeting.
- 9. The Outreach Committee is soliciting feedback on the date and plans for the fall career forum. September 27 is one possibility, coinciding with the AABOG meeting.
- 10. The Executive Committee will investigate concerns raised by Jim Enstrom '65 about speakers gaining campus access, possibly inviting Outreach and/or Networking to look at ideas.
- 11. The Executive Committee will begin discussing how to back up archival records.
- 12. A poll was taken in April about where to hold the June meeting. An announcement will be made shortly.

Before the meeting formally began, Jerome Jackson '76, Chair of the Selections Committee, welcomed and introduced Liz Baughman, who was approved at the February 1 AABOG meeting to be named an Honorary Alumna. He cited her high level of service, professionalism and enthusiasm over the years, saying she epitomizes the goals and ideals of Harvey Mudd College. In response, she expressed her fondness for the College and her great appreciation for the award. He emphasized that we need people to step up and take on leadership roles in committees.

Nominations:	President: Gerald Van Hecke '61
	Vice-president: David Sonner '80
	Treasurer: (Fundd Committee Chair)
	Secretary: Rick Simon '76
	At large: Christopher Abella '12, Robert Herling '67, David Gross '08
[Ron Lloyd '80	was nominated at large later. (Nominations remain open until the June meeting.)]

Items Brought Forth from Committees

- Outreach Committee (Ron Lloyd '80): The date for the fall Career Forum is yet to be determined. The Career Fair is October 3, school starts on September 2 and the AABOG meeting is September 27, to coincide with the Board of Trustees meeting.
 - One option is to have the AABOG meeting, Career Forum and dinner sequenced for maximum participation; The AABOG meeting would run as late as possible in order to minimize the disruption.
 - Leonard Daly '80 said he would prefer a break between the Forum and dinner.
 - We would lose students if we have a break.
 - Bill Hartman '62 put in a plug for the Mount Baldy hike on Sunday (9/28) and said he would vote in support of having the Forum on September 27.
- Events (Jessica Spaulding '03) reminded everyone to submit photos, etc. for Alumni Weekend.
- Networking (David Gross '08): We will be meeting tonight with the seniors. Points of discussion will include joining LinkedIn, the HMCAA group there and encouraging them to provide their future information to the college so we can connect them with alumni in their new areas.
- Selections: Jerome Jackson '76 moved to enter Executive Session, allowing visitors to participate. The motion passed by acclaim. As a result of the Executive Session, Young Alumni Trustee candidates were identified.

Alumni Comments/Oral Communications

- Jim Enstrom '65 told the BOG that he has tried for some years, without success, to get Harvey Mudd College to allow him to speak to students and the campus community about his research findings with respect to air pollution. He has wanted to address the topic, "How Environmental Extremism is Hurting the State of California."
 - Various questions were asked, trying to clarify the situation.
 - \circ $\;$ Various statements were made about what the BOG can and should do.
 - Some (somewhat minimal) efforts have been made by some people to investigate, but it is not at all clear why there is a six-year history of failure for his efforts toward being able to speak.

- Various suggestions were made, including (Mala Arthur '82) the possibility of an "open mic nite" at Alumni Weekend.
- Glen Hastings '93 suggested that the Executive Committee investigate more, and then possibly assign the Outreach and/or Networking Committees to investigate campus speaking opportunities.
- Leonard Daly '80 raised a concern about AABOG backing up archival records; the BOG needs a good system.

The 178th Meeting of the Board of Governors was adjourned at 5:21, with the BOG scheduled to meet the senior class for dinner at Jay's Place.

Respectfully submitted, Rick Simon '76 Secretary

Future meeting dates

June 21, 2014, location tbd September 27, 2014, to coincide with the Board of Trustees meeting