

From: Holden Thorp <hthorp@aaas.org>
Date: Mon, Mar 11, 2024 at 4:37 PM
Subject: Your letters
To: jenstrom@ucla.edu <jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Dr. Enstrom,

I'm of course very familiar with your disagreements about PM2.5 epidemiology. We have responded to your concerns multiple times over the years. We will be doing nothing further on this matter at this time.

Holden

Holden Thorp
Editor-in-Chief, Science Family of Journals
1200 New York Ave NW
Washington, DC 20005
Cell: 202-288-6896
hthorp@aaas.org

From: **Andrew Black** <ablack@aaas.org>
Date: Mon, Mar 11, 2024 at 2:23 PM
Subject: Re: Allegation of Misconduct in November 24, 2023 Science Article
To: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>

Dr. Enstrom,

I am in receipt of the email below and note your numerous phone calls to our office. As *Science* is editorially independent from AAAS, Dr. Parikh and I have no role in this process other than to confirm that your correspondence has been received and that you will hear directly from the editorial leadership at *Science* if/when they believe further action is appropriate.

Sincerely,

Andrew Black

From: **James E. Enstrom** <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Date: Tue, Mar 5, 2024 at 10:00 AM
Subject: Allegation of Misconduct in November 24, 2023 Science Article
To: Science Misconduct <science_data@aaas.org>
Cc: Sudip S. Parikh <sparikh@aaas.org>, Andrew Black <ablack@aaas.org>

March 5, 2024

Science Misconduct
science_data@aaas.org

Re: Allegation of Misconduct in November 24, 2023 *Science* Article (DOI: 10.1126/science.adf4915)

Dear *Science* Misconduct,

I have recently learned that “Concerns about misconduct or serious errors [in *Science*] should not be submitted as eLetters; rather, these should be emailed to science_data@aaas.org.” (<https://www.science.org/content/page/science-information-authors>). Thus, I am hereby emailing you my unposted December 4, 2023 eLetter containing my Allegation of Research Misconduct in the November 24, 2023 *Science* Research Article “Mortality risk from United States coal electricity generation” by lead author Lucas Henneman, PhD, of George Mason University (GMU) (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Henneman120423.pdf>). Additional details supporting my Allegation of Henneman Research Misconduct are contained in my November 27, 2023 email letter to the GMU Research Integrity Officer Christopher DiTeresi, PhD (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Henneman112723.pdf>). In summary, my Allegation involves research misconduct in fine particulate matter (PM2.5) epidemiology. I have strong evidence of Falsification of the Research Record, Misuse of HIPAA-protected Medicare Data, and Additional Misconduct.

The National significance of corrupted PM2.5 epidemiology is described in the February 7, 2024 CEI Blog by Daren Bakst, JD “Biden admin’s particulate matter rule: premature, rejects sound science, will hurt American families” (<https://cei.org/blog/biden-admins-particulate-matter-rule-premature-rejects-sound-science-will-hurt-american-families/>). Extensive details are provided in the 21-page March 28, 2023 CEI Comment to EPA by Daren Bakst, JD, and Marlo Lewis, Jr., PhD (<https://www.regulations.gov/comment/EPA-HQ-OAR-2015-0072-2483>).

I have not received any response that addresses the evidence in my Allegation. Thus, I request that *Science* Misconduct acknowledge receipt of this email letter and respond to the detailed evidence in my Allegation.

Thank you very much for your timely consideration of my Allegation.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH, FFACE
Retired UCLA Research Professor (Epidemiology)
President, Scientific Integrity Institute
[http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/
jenstrom@ucla.edu](http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/jenstrom@ucla.edu)
(310) 472-4274