

**From:** James E. Enstrom [mailto:jenstrom@ucla.edu]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 04, 2017 8:11 AM  
**To:** 'W. Ryan Diver' <ryan.diver@cancer.org>  
**Subject:** Request for ACS Analyses of PM2.5 & Mortality in CSP II

July 4, 2017

William Ryan Diver, M.S.P.H.  
Director, Epidemiology Data Analysis Core  
American Cancer Society  
[ryan.diver@cancer.org](mailto:ryan.diver@cancer.org)

Dear Mr. Diver,

As you should know, my March 28, 2017 [Dose-Response article](#) “Fine Particulate Matter and Total Mortality in Cancer Prevention Study Cohort Reanalysis” challenges the validity of the PM2.5 and total mortality relationship in the CPS II cohort as described in the 1995 Pope *AJRCCM* article, the 2000 HEI Reanalysis Report, and the 2009 HEI Research Report 140. Drs. Arden Pope, Daniel Krewski, Susan Gapstur, Michelle Turner, and Aaron Cohen have refused to confirm or refute the results in my article and have not conducted the analyses that I requested in my [March 10, 2017 HEI email message](#) and repeated in my [April 10, 2017 email message](#).

Your [ACS biography](#) states that you have been with the Epidemiology Research Program since 2002 and have managed CPS II data analyses since 2009. Thus, you must be well aware of scientific, economic, and political aspects of the PM2.5 deaths controversy and the resulting EPA and CARB regulations. Rather than comply with August 1, 2013 Congressional subpoena for redacted CPS II data in order to facilitate transparent and reproducible PM2.5 science, you have instead co-authored at least six ‘secret science’ publications with new unverifiable claims about the relationship between PM2.5 and mortality in the CPS II cohort.

Please read my article in detail, particularly the Acknowledgements and References 11 and 12. Then, please conduct the CPS II analyses that I have requested from HEI since March 10, 2017: “In order to test the validity of my evidence, I request that you conduct a sensitivity analysis that produces tables similar to the California tables presented with your [September 7, 2010 letter to CARB](#). Specifically, please produce tables which describe the PM2.5 and mortality relationship in the CSP II cohort for the Ohio Valley states (Indiana, Kentucky, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia) and for the remainder of the Continental United States. Also, please produce these same tables using the 1979-1983 EPA IPN PM2.5 data, which I used in my [2005 Inhalation Toxicology article](#), instead of the PM2.5 data used in the 2000 and 2009 HEI Reports.”

Please respond to me via email or phone by July 15, 2017. You can call me directly to discuss this matter privately. For your information, I am preparing a document for *Dose-Response*, EPA, Congress, and POTUS describing the DISHONEST and UNPATRIOTIC aspects of ACS ‘secret science’ epidemiology. Your response will determine how you are described in this document. Please do not underestimate me, as your co-authors have done. Also, read what The Holy Bible says about this: “And ye shall know the truth, and the truth shall make you free” (John 8:32).

Thank you very much for your consideration and cooperation on this Independence Day.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.  
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute  
[jenstrom@ucla.edu](mailto:jenstrom@ucla.edu)  
(310) 472-4274