American Council on Science and Health



Dr. Elizabeth M. Whelan Executive Director

Dr. Richard A. Greenberg Associate Director

Lynne P. Middelveen Assistant Director

January 4, 1984

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D.
Jonsson Comprehensive Cancer Center
and School of Public Health
University of California, Los Angeles
Los Angeles, California 90024

Dear Dr. Enstrom:

Thank you for your recent letter. We are pleased that you can serve as a scientific advisor. It was your expertise and research in the field of cancer epidemiology which interested us. I had seen your piece on "Poisoning of America" in the New York Times. As I recall, it had the same type of theme expressed by me in today's Wall Street Journal (see attached).

Since you obviously have an interest in cigarette smoking, I thought you might like to see the enclosed material. Had you heard about the Newsweek supplement saga? I would like you reaction. We are having an overwhelmingly positive response to our press conference for next Wednesday.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth M. Whelan Executive Director

EMW:cem enc1/

Please Reply to:

☐ 1995 Broadway 18th Floor New York, NY 10023 Telephone: (212) 362-7044

☐ 47 Maple Street Summit, NJ 07901 Telephone: (201) 277-0024

1050 17th Street, N.W. Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20036 Telephone: (202) 659-8978

Board of Directors

Norman E. Borlaug, Ph.D. Director
Wheat, Barley and Triticale Research
and Production Programs
International Center for Maize and
Wheat Improvement
Londres, Mexico

F. J. Francis, Ph.D. Professor Department of Food Science and Nutrition University of Massachusetts Amherst, Massachusetts

Alfred E. Harper, Ph.D. Professor of Nutritional Sciences
Professor of Biochemistry
University of Wisconsin
Macison, Wisconsin

Joseph F. Murphy, LL.B. State of New Jersey

Fredrick J. Stare, M.D., Ph.D. Professor of Nutrition, Emeritus Harvard School of Public Health Boston, Massachusetts

Stephen S. Sternberg, M.D. Member, Sloan-Kettering Institute for Cancer Research Attending Pathologist, Memorial Hospital, New York, New York

Elizabeth M. Whelan, Sc.D., M.P.H. Executive Director American Council on Science and Health

Board of Scientific Advisors

Roslyn B. Alfin-Slater, Ph.D. University of California, Los Angeles

Lewis A. Barness, M.D. University of South Florida College of Medicine

Stephen Barrett, M.D. Lehigh Valley Committee Against Health Fraud, Inc.

Norman E. Borlaug, Ph.D. International Center for Maize and Wheat Improvement

Joseph F. Borzelleca, Ph.D. Medical College of Virginia

George A. Bray, M.D.
University of Southern California
Medical Center

Ernest J. Briskey, Ph.D. Oregon State University

John P. Callan, M.D.

Ernest E. Campaigne, Ph.D.

George Christakis, M.D., M.S., M.P.H. University of Miami School of Medicine

F. M. Clydesdale, Ph.D. University of Massachusett

Julius M. Coon, M.D., Ph.D.

T. J. Cunha, Ph.D.

Robert M. Devlin, Ph.D. University of Massachusetts

Janet B. Douglass, M.S., R.N. University of Lowell, Massachusetts

Merril Eisenbud, Sc.D. New York University Medical Center

J.S. Felton, M.D. University of California, Irvine

Owen R. Fennema, Ph.D.



Dean C. Fletcher, Ph.D.

Raiph W. Fogleman, D.V.M. Upper Black Eddy, Pennsylvania

F. J. Francis, Ph.D. University of Massachusetts

LaNelle E. Geddes, Ph.D., R.N.

Roger E. Gold, Ph.D. University of Nebraska, Lincoln

Alfred E. Harper, Ph.D.

William R. Havender, Ph.D. Berkeley, California

Wayland J. Hayes, Jr., M.D., Ph.D., Vanderbilt University School of Med

William E. Hazeltine, Ph.D. Butte County, California Mosquito Abatement District

Victor Herbert, M.D., J.D. Bronx Veterans Administration Medical Center State University of New York

Margaret Hitchcock, Ph.D Yale University

William T. Jarvis, Ph.D.

Paul E. Kifer, Ph.D. Oregon State University

James R. Kirk, Ph.D. University of Florida

David Kritchevsky, Ph.D.
The Wistar Institute, Philadelphia

Manfred Kroger, Ph.D. Pennsylvania State University

J. Clayburn LaForce, Ph.D. University of California, Los Angeles

Lawrence E. Lamb, M.D. Communications, Inc., San Antonio

Bernard Lown, M.D. Harvard School of Public Health

Howard D. Maccabee, Ph.D., M.D. Radiation Oncology Center Walnut Creek, California

Robert MacVicar, Ph.D.

Roger P. Maickel, Ph.D.

Henry G. Manne, J.S.D.

Charles D. May, M.D.

Kristen McNutt, Ph.D.

Joseph M. Miller, M.D. New Hampton, New Hampshire

Dade W. Moeller, Ph.D. Harvard School of Public Health

Eric W. Mood, LL.D., M.P.H.

J. E. Oldfield, Ph.D. Oregon State University

Robert E. Olson, M.D., Ph.D. niversity of Pittsburgh School of Medicine

Grace L. Ostenso, Ph.D. Committee on Science and Technology U.S. House of Representatives Frederick S. Philips, Ph.D. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

Rita Ricardo-Campbell, Ph.D. Hoover Institution, Stanford University

David B. Roll, Ph.D.

David Peter Rose, M.D., Ph.D. American Health Foundation

Sheldon Rovin, D.D.S., M.S.

Paul D. Saltman, Ph.D. University of California, San Diego

Herbert P. Sarett, Ph.D.

Harry Schwartz, Ph.D. Columbia University

Leroy L. Schwartz, M.D. The Princeton Institute for Health Policy

B. S. Schweigert, Ph.D. University of California, Davis

Sidney Shindell, M.D., LL.B. Medical College of Wisconsin

Sarah Short, Ph.D., Ed.D., R.D. Syracuse University

A. J. Siedler, Ph.D. University of Illinois

Robert R. Spitzer, Ph.D. Milwaukee School of Engineering

Ronald T. Stanko, M.D. University of Pittsburgh School of Medicine

Fredrick J. Stare, M.D., Ph.D. Harvard School of Public Health

Judith S. Stern, Sc.D. University of California, Davis

Stephen S. Sternberg, M.D. Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center

Stanley E. Wallen, Ph.D. University of Nebraska, Lincoln

W. F. Wardowski, Ph.D. University of Florida

Esther M. Wender, M.D. Montefiore Medical Center Bronx, New York

Philip L. White, Sc.D. American Medical Association

Richard Wilson, Ph.D. Harvard University

Warren Winkelstein, Jr., M.D., M.P.H.

James Harvey Young, Ph.D.

John A. Zapp, Jr., Ph.D. Kenneti Square, Pennsylvania

Juliette Zivic
Public Service Company of
New Hampshire

Policy Advisors

S. John Byington, J.D. Pillsbury, Madison and Sutro

John Diebold

Joseph F. Murphy, LL.B. Department of Insurance State of New Jersey

Julian L. Simon, Ph.D. University of Maryland University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

LOS ANGELES - In its extensive coverage of the environmental situation at Love Canal, Three Mile Island. and numerous other places, the press often seems intent on showing how modern technology, including the chemical industry and nuclear-power plants, is poisoning Americans with the introduction of carcinogens and other unhealthy pollutants into the environment.

These assessments are usually based on studies of either animals. bacteria or highly exposed nontypical human populations. Risks extrapolated from these studies are then presumed to apply to the general population. Often only one specific disease is considered and no attention is given to understanding how a carcinogen or other poison affects overall human health. Furthermore, these substances are almost always evaluated from the point of view of risks and not benefits.

In 1964, the United States Surgeon General's Advisory Committee on Smoking and Health said that it is necessary to look at five criteria in establishing a "causal" association between some environmental factor and resulting disease: consistency, strength, specificity, temporal relationships, and coherence. The committee used these criteria to conclude that cigarette smoking causes lung cancer. Unfortunately, this same reasoning is not being applied in many other situations.

For example, let us examine some important health trends during the last 40 years, a period of dramatic growth in the use of new chemicals and nuclear power. From 1940 to 1979. the average life expectancy for the entire United States population increased from 63.6 to 73.6 years and the total age-adjusted death rate declined by 45 percent from 10.8 to 5.9 deaths per 1,000 persons per year, including major declines in every age, sex and racial group. (Since death rates increase greatly with age, it is neces-

The 'Poisoning' Of America

By James E. Enstrom

sary to use the age-adjusted death rate, an overall weighted average of the death rate at each age.) This is a phenomenal decline in the death rates when you consider that a 100 percent decline would mean that people have achieved immortality. Much of this change is due to a greatly reduced cardiovascular-disease death rate, but most other causes of death have declined as well, including several major forms of cancer such as stomach and uterine cancer. In fact, the only major causes of deaths that have increased substantially since 1940 are lung cancer and, to a lesser extent, cirrhosis of the liver and homicide.

This means that many widely publicized environmental factors, such as chemical contaminants in the water supply, smog and other pollutants in the air, low-level radiation from nuclear-weapons testing and nuclearpower plants, saccharine in soft drinks, menopausal estrogens, hair dyes, and food additives are most likely associated with specific diseases for which the death rates have. declined or, at most, remained about constant over the last 40 years. Furthermore, diseases such as cancer existed long before introduction of this modern technology.

It is important to understand the magnitude of the mortality decline since 1940. The age-adjusted decline from 10.8 to 5.9 deaths per 1,000 persons per year is equal to about four

times the average total cancer death rate during this period. In other words, based on the 1940 death rates, about 3.5 million deaths should have occurred in the United States in 1979. However. only about 1.9 million have actually occurred, of which about 400,000 were due to cancer. This means that there was a net savings of about 1.6 million deaths because of improvements in overall health since 1940.

Relatively few factors have been shown to have a consistent, strong adverse effect on overall health. They include: cigarette smoking, chronic alcoholism, severe malnutrition, low socioeconomic status. Most factors that affect the general population either have a weak relationship to total mortality or have been assessed only relative to a few specific diseases. Many "highrisk" persons exposed to various chemicals and drugs also turn out to be heavy cigarette smokers. Many others are actually "healthy workers" who are healthier overall than the general population, even though some causes of death may be elevated. Except for cigarette smoking, most identified carcinogens have not been clearly related to an increased death rate in the general population.

Because of the lack of relevant research, we can only speculate about which factors have contributed to improving health: better personal health habits, better medical procedures, better access to medical care, higher standard of living. It is important to address the issue of improving health and to understand the reasons for this situation. This knowledge should make possible future improvement. Focus should be on those factors that are having the greatest overall impact on health, and a positive role for modernday chemicals, drugs, and technology should not be a priori ruled out.

James E. Enstrom is cancer epidemiology researcher at the School of Public Health of the University of California at Los Angeles.