

From: James E. Enstrom [mailto:jenstrom@ucla.edu]
Sent: Monday, October 5, 2015 Mon 7:45 AM
To: Jim Bordas <jim@bordaslaw.com>
Cc: Jerry Arnett <jerry.arnett@gmail.com>, S. Fred Singer <singer@sepp.org>
Subject: Request re Defamation Complaint Against Sharon Y. Eubanks

October 5, 2015

Jim Bordas, Esq.
Bordas and Bordas Attorneys, PLLC
1358 National Road
Wheeling, WV 26003
<http://www.bordaslaw.com>
jim@bordaslaw.com
(866) 674-8762

Dear Mr. Bordas,

I am writing to make you aware of important developments since my September 29, 2015 email message regarding Sharon Y. Eubanks. These developments are described in the October 1, 2015 and October 2, 2015 weblinks below. In particular, House Science Committee Chairman Lamar Smith sent an October 1, 2015 letter to George Mason University Professor Jagadish Shukla regarding the effort to have RICO tactics used to prosecute scientists who are man-made Global Warming skeptics. These RICO tactics are exactly what Eubanks used a decade ago to “prosecute” me. In addition to asking Eubanks if she was paid by Stanton A. Glantz, UCSF, and/or UC during 2003-2008 for any of the items described in my September 3, 2008 DC Bar complaint, please ask her if she has advised Shukla or anyone else on how to use RICO tactics against AGW skeptics. To understand the importance of this matter, please talk with my close colleagues, Drs. Jerome C. Arnett, Jr., and S. Fred Singer. Dr. Singer, a renown environmental physicist and AGW skeptic, has had his scientific reputation damaged by the same kind of libelous tobacco smears that Eubanks has used against me.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and assistance.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
914 Westwood Boulevard #577
Los Angeles, CA 90024-2905
<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org>
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274

cc: Jerome C. Arnett, Jr., M.D.
jerry.arnett@gmail.com
S. Fred Singer, Ph.D.
singer@sepp.org

October 1, 2015 American Thinker article by Russell Cook "Those scientists who want to use RICO to prosecute AGW deniers have a big problem"
(http://www.americanthinker.com/articles/2015/10/those_scientists_who_want_to_use_rico_to_prosecute_agw_deniers_have_a_big_problem.html)

October 1, 2015 letter by House Science Committee Chairman Lamar Smith to George Mason University Professor Jagadish Shukla, regarding Shukla's efforts to use RICO tactics to prosecute AGW skeptics
(<https://science.house.gov/sites/republicans.science.house.gov/files/documents/10-1-15%20CLS%20to%20Shukla.pdf>)

October 2, 2015 Daily Caller article by Michael Bastasch "Congress Investigates Scientists Wanting To Prosecute Global Warming Skeptics," which includes reference to the Smith letter to Shukla
(<http://dailycaller.com/2015/10/02/congress-investigates-scientists-wanting-to-prosecute-global-warming-skeptics/>)

From: James E. Enstrom [mailto:jenstrom@ucla.edu]
Sent: Tuesday, September 29, 2015 11:53 AM
To: Jim Bordas <jim@bordaslaw.com>
Cc: Jerry Arnett <jerry.arnett@gmail.com>
Subject: Request re Defamation Complaint Against Sharon Y. Eubanks

September 29, 2015

Jim Bordas, Esq.
Bordas and Bordas Attorneys, PLLC
1358 National Road
Wheeling, WV 26003
<http://www.bordaslaw.com>
jim@bordaslaw.com
(866) 674-8762

Dear Mr. Bordas,

I greatly appreciated the opportunity on Monday to discuss with you my evidence that Sharon Y. Eubanks has defamed me since 2004. Please read my September 3, 2008 D.C. Bar Complaint against Eubanks and listen to the two July 18, 2007 MP3 files featuring Eubanks and the UC Regents. If possible, please examine the additional links, which support my 2008 complaint. Also, if possible, please talk with my close colleague Dr. Jerome C. Arnett, Jr., about me. My first goal is to establish that Eubanks intended to defame me and then resubmit an updated complaint to the D.C. Bar. You can assist me in this regard by simply asking Eubanks if she was paid by Stanton A. Glantz, UCSF, and/or UC during 2003-2008 for any of the items described in my 2008 complaint, such as, her 2007 testimony before the UC Regents. My second goal is to stop the current effort to use Eubank's RICO tactics against politically incorrect climate scientists, as described in the "RICO" link below.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and assistance with this important request.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D., M.P.H.
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
914 Westwood Boulevard #577
Los Angeles, CA 90024-2905
<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org>
jenstrom@ucla.edu
(310) 472-4274

cc: Jerome C. Arnett, Jr., M.D.
jerry.arnett@gmail.com

September 3, 2008 Enstrom Defamation Complaint Against Sharon Y. Eubanks Submitted to District of Columbia Bar Counsel (numerous links within the eight-page document provide extensive additional details that supplement the text)
(<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Eubanks090308.pdf>)

July 18, 2007 MP3 File of Eubanks Arrogantly Lecturing the UC Regents on Academic Freedom and Tobacco Industry Funding at UC. When she spoke she knew full well that I was the only UC scientist cited in the RICO lawsuit Findings of Fact, that there were no errors in my 2003 BMJ paper or any other paper, and that I had made repeated attempts during 2005-2007 to correct her libelous statements about me:
(<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Regents071807Eubanks.mp3>)

July 18, 2007 PM3 File of UC Academic Senate Chair John Oakley Responding to Eubanks and Defending Academic Freedom and Principle Investigators at UC. Oakley states that UC Principal Investigators like myself would have loved the opportunity to defend our research before the Regents. Regents Chair Blum actually promised me five minutes, but then gave all my time to Eubanks. Oakley makes clear that my BMJ secondhand smoke study is the only UC-related study in the USDOJ Findings of Fact.
(<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/Regents071807Oakley.mp3>)

July 28, 2009 PLoS Med article by Glantz SA, Barnes R, Eubanks SY "Compromise or Capitulation? US Food and Drug Administration Jurisdiction Over Tobacco Products." PLoS Med 6(7): e1000118.
doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1000118
(<http://journals.plos.org/plosmedicine/article?id=10.1371/journal.pmed.1000118>)

"Dr. Glantz holds two research grants related to tobacco from the National Cancer Institute, an endowed chair as American Legacy Distinguished Professor in Tobacco Control, and a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation to assist Ms. Eubanks, who led the US Department of Justice in its successful RICO case against the tobacco industry (United States v. Philip Morris USA, et al.) in writing a book about the case."

May 30, 2012 Book "Bad Acts: The Racketeering Case Against the Tobacco Industry" by Sharon Y. Eubanks and Stanton A. Glantz, published May 30, 2012 by APHA Press
(http://www.amazon.com/Bad-Acts-Racketeering-Against-Industry/dp/0875530176/#reader_0875530176)

Acknowledgements (page vi) and Prologue (page xii) specifically state that the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation provided funding to Eubanks, apparently through Glantz and UCSF. Eubanks discusses her employment at Holland and Knight in the Prologue, but she makes no mention of my September 3, 2008 defamation complaint to the DC Bar which might have played a role in her termination from Holland and Knight.

December 1, 2012 Jerome C. Arnett, Jr., M.D., Pulmonologist from Helvetia, WV, wrote "Politicized Science: the Case of Dr. James Enstrom v. Powerful Environmental Activists", Journal of American Physicians and Surgeons, volume 17, number 4, pages 118-119 (<http://www.jpands.org/vol17no4/arnett.pdf>). I request that you speak to Dr. Arnett about me and my integrity as a scientist. He can be reached at jerry.arnett@gmail.com or P.O. Box 11, Helvetia, WV 26224 or (304) 924-6273.

March 13, 2015 DeSmog Blog discusses Enstrom and the "climate change deniers" plan to criticize the "Merchants of Doubt" Movie because it makes ad hominem attacks on renown scientists and includes Glantz describing the RICO tobacco trial (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/DeSmog031315.pdf>) This blog includes many defamatory statements about me, including this malicious smear "In fact, Enstrom did so much work for Big Tobacco that when the United States Department of Justice summed up its civil RICO case against the cigarette makers [PDF] at the end of a months-long trial in a lengthy legal filing, Enstrom got his own chapter - one of just three scientists singled out by DOJ out of many dozens of tobacco-funded researchers for having been vital to the industry's efforts to sow confusion about the health effects of smoking."

May 17, 2015 YouTube of Enstrom Acceptance Speech for American Freedom Alliance Heroes of Conscience Award (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7XEc4tkw70>)

June 11, 2015 Tenth International Conference on Climate Change Panel 8 on Health Effects Video: Enstrom on "EPA's Clean Power Plan and PM2.5-Related Co-benefits" (<http://climateconferences.heartland.org/james-enstrom-iccc10-panel-8/>)

~September 1, 2015 Video in which West Virginia Attorney General Patrick Morrisey discusses why he is fighting the EPA's Clean Power Plan (<http://finance.yahoo.com/video/west-virginia-ag-looks-smack-162313442.html>)

September 17, 2015 Climate Etc. Blog by Judith A. Curry, Ph.D., "RICO!" describes the current effort to apply the RICO tactics that Eubanks used against Enstrom to man-made Global Warming skeptics (<http://judithcurry.com/2015/09/17/rico/>)

<http://www.dcbbar.org/membership/find-a-member-results.cfm>

Find A Member Search Results

[Search again.](#)

Records matching your search criteria: 1

1. **Sharon Y Eubanks**

Bordas & Bordas
7927 Jones Branch Drive
Suite 2150
McLean VA 22102

Email: 

Phone: 703-217-2685

Fax:

Membership Status: Active

Disciplinary history: No

Date of admission: September 15, 1989