

From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Date: Sat, Feb 15, 2020 at 10:00 AM
Subject: January 23 JAMA Viewpoint Misrepresents EPA Transparency Rule
To: David B. Allison <allison@iu.edu>

February 15, 2020

David B. Allison, PhD
Dean, School of Public Health
Indiana University
allison@iu.edu

Dear Dean Allison,

Please respond to my January 25 and 29, 2020 email messages below. In particular, please explain why your January 23, 2020 *JAMA* Viewpoint did not cite my March 28, 2017 *Dose-Response* Reanalysis, which found serious flaws in both the HEI 2000 Reanalysis (Reference 5) and Pope 1995 (Reference 6). My reanalysis provides strong support for the EPA Transparency Rule. As national leaders on Reproducibility in Science, both you and Dr. Fineberg should have been well aware of my Reanalysis.

Thank you for very much for your timely response.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH, FFACE
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
jenstrom@ucla.edu

From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2020 12:31 PM
To: Harvey V. Fineberg <harvey.fineberg@moore.org>
Cc: David B. Allison, PhD <allison@iu.edu>
Subject: January 23 JAMA Viewpoint Misrepresents EPA Transparency Rule

January 29, 2020

Harvey V. Fineberg, MD, PhD
President, Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation
<https://www.moore.org/people-detail?personUrl=harveyf>
<https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/harvey-fineberg/>
<https://chinamedicalboard.org/people/harvey-v-fineberg>
harvey.fineberg@moore.org

Dear Dr. Fineberg,

I am writing to inform you of a major omission in your January 23, 2020 *JAMA* Viewpoint “The Use and Misuse of Transparency in Research: Science and Rulemaking at the Environmental Protection Agency” (<https://jamanetwork.com/journals/jama/fullarticle/2759410>). Regarding the HEI 2000 Reanalysis (Reference 6) of Pope 1995 (Reference 5), you do not cite my March 28, 2017 *Dose-Response* Reanalysis (<http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/EPATransJEE081418.pdf>), which found serious flaws in both Pope 1995 and HEI 2000. Also, the concluding sentence in your Viewpoint misrepresents the proposed EPA Transparency Rule. For strong evidence supporting the EPA Transparency Rule, please read the 22-page Comment that I prepared for the January 17, 2020 EPA SAB Meeting (<http://www.scientificintegrityinstitute.org/JEESAB011420.pdf>).

In order to better understand this issue, I invite you or another Moore Foundation scientist to attend the February 7-8, 2020 National Association of Scholars Conference In Oakland: **Fixing Science: Practical Solutions for the Irreproducibility Crisis** (<https://www.nas.org/blogs/event/fixing-science-practical-solutions-for-the-irreproducibility-crisis>). I would like to personally speak with you or another Moore scientist.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH, FFACE
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
[http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/
jenstrom@ucla.edu](http://scientificintegrityinstitute.org/jenstrom@ucla.edu)
(310) 472-4274

From: James E. Enstrom <jenstrom@ucla.edu>
Date: Sat, Jan 25, 2020 at 9:00 AM
Subject: Understand Evidence Supporting EPA Transparency Rule
To: David B. Allison, PhD <allison@iu.edu>
Cc: Dean Ronald S. Brookmeyer <rbrookmeyer@ucla.edu>

January 25, 2020

David B. Allison, PhD
Dean, School of Public Health
Indiana University
allison@iu.edu

Dear Dean Allison,

In response to your January 24 PNAS Commentary on the EPA Transparency Rule, please:

1. Please read the 22-page Comment supporting the EPA Transparency Rule that I prepared for the January 17 EPA SAB Meeting ([https://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf//6F178C790030BEA3852584F00052DED0/\\$File/Enstrom+Comment+to+SAB+Supporting+EPA+Transparency+Rule+011420.pdf](https://yosemite.epa.gov/sab/sabproduct.nsf//6F178C790030BEA3852584F00052DED0/$File/Enstrom+Comment+to+SAB+Supporting+EPA+Transparency+Rule+011420.pdf)).
2. Please Attend February 7-8 National Association of Scholars Conference In Oakland: **Fixing Science: Practical Solutions for the Irreproducibility Crisis** (<https://www.nas.org/blogs/event/fixing-science-practical-solutions-for-the-irreproducibility-crisis>).
3. Please understand ALL of the Evidence in favor of the EPA transparency rule and ALL of the efforts to suppress this evidence.

Thank you very much.

James E. Enstrom, PhD, MPH, FFACE
UCLA and Scientific Integrity Institute
JEnstrom@ucla.edu