Rising Lung Cancer Mortality Among Nonsmokers

James E. Enstrom, Ph.D. 2

ABSTRACT-On the basis of data available from two representalive samples of lung cancer deaths in the United States as well as national mortality statistics and other epidemiologic studies. the lung cencer mortality rate has risen substantially between 1914 and 1958 among persons who never smoked cigarettes. For white males the relative increase for ages 35-84 years has been about 15-fold; the relative increase for ages 65-84 years has been about 30-fold. For white females the relative increase for ages 35-84 years has been about seventeid. Most of the relative increase occurred before 1935 and was probably due to changes in diagnostic criteria. However, increases have continued up to the present for male nonsmokers, who now apparently have an annual age-adjusted lung concer death rate of about 25 per 100,000 persons between the ages 35-84 years. The rising lung cancer rate among nonsmokers indicates that tactors in addition to personal eigerette amoking have had a algoliteant effect on the mortallty rate from this disease. In spile of the limited quality of these data, they suggest that a more complete understanding of lung cancer etiology is needed.-J Nati Cancer Inst 62: 755-760, 1979.

Much effort over the past 30 years has gone into the collection of epidemiologic evidence establishing and confirming a statistical relationship between lung cancer and cigarette smoking. To date the evidence appears so overwhelming that there is general acceptance of the conclusion in the 1964 Surgeon General's report: "Cigarette smoking is causally related to lung cancer in men; the magnitude of the effect of cigarette smoking far outweighs all other factors. The data for women, although less extensive, point in the same direction." (1) Nevertheless, the conclusion of causality is still subjected to criticism (2). Indeed, no mechanism for human tobacco carcinogenesis has yet been successfully formulated and tested and no randomized human trial has ever been conducted to measure the specific effect of either the initiation or the cessation of smoking on subsequent lung cancer mortality. Also, many factors other than personal cigarette smoking have not been examined in great detail in their relationship to lung cancer. In view of this, it is useful to examine lung cancer mortality among nonsmokers who are subject only to factors other than their own cigarette smoking (3, 4).

With this brief introduction, an analysis will be made of existing data on lung cancer mortality trends among nonsmokers as well as among the total population in the United States. One probable reason this analysis has not been done previously is that data on nonsmokers are limited Because of the low lung cancer rates of nonsmokers, large groups of nonsmokers must be studied for long periods before sufficient deaths from lung cancer occur. Fortunately, however, two large representative surveys of the smoking history of Americans who died of lung cancer are available. These surveys and concurrent surveys of the smoking

status of living Americans allow the calculation of estimated age-specific mortality rates for nonsmokers in the entire U.S. population. These rates can then be compared with early data on U.S. lung cancer mortality when cigarette smoking was a relatively rate habit and with other lung cancer data from epidemiologic studies of nonsmokers. In this paper, nonsmokers are defined as persons who have never smoked cigarettes. Although nonsmokers here do not include former cigarette smokers, they may include present or former cigar and or pipe smokers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The earliest available national lung cancer data are for 1914, based on a death registration system composed of 24 States with 62% of the total U.S. population (1, 5, 6). Only 185 white male and 143 white female lung cancer deaths were recorded in 1914, and problems with the accuracy and representativeness of these data have been discussed in detail elsewhere (6-8). For instance, in recent years these 24 registration States have had significantly higher lung cancer mortality rates than the other States (7). More serious are the difficulties that existed in 1914 of correctly diagnosing and classifying lung cancer (8), as discussed later. Beginning in 1933 the death registration system included all States and 100% of the U.S. population, and age-specific death rates have since been available on an annual basis (9, 10).

National Mortality Survey, 1958-1959.—The Current Mortality sample, a representative 10% sample of all deaths in the United States, provided the records of lung cancer deaths for 2,381 white males 35 years old and over during 1958 (11) and 749 white females 35 years old and over during 1958 and 1959 (12). A questionnaire on the residence and smoking history of each decedent was sent to the family informant listed on the death certificate, and the overall response rate was 90%. The Bureau of the Census collected comparable information on the U.S. population as a supplement to the Current Population Survey for May 1958. From a representative national sample of approximately 35,000 households, histories were obtained from 31,516 white males and 34,339 white females at least 35 years of age (11, 12).

National Mortality Survey, 1966-68.—This survey was conducted in a manner similar to that for the 1958-59 survey. Information on deceased persons 35-84 years old in the United States in 1966-68 comes from

ABBRINIATION USED ACS - American Cancer Society

¹ Received May 19, 1978, accepted September 3, 1978

¹ School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, Calif. 90024

the National Mortality Survey, a follow-back survey of a representative sample of 19,526 death registration records, including 1,464 white males and 319 white female lung cancer deaths. By mail questionnaire, surviving family members and others named on the death certificate provided smoking histories and social characteristics of the deceased person; the overall response rate was 92%. Then, through the Current Population Survey conducted by the Bureau of the Census in August 1967, smoking and socioeconomic information comparable to that for the decedents was obtained for a tepresentative national sample of 61,000 adults 35-84 years old, including 25,266 white males and 29,308 white females. (13, 14).

Other epidemiologic studies of monsmokers.—The Dorn U.S. veterans study prospectively followed a co-hort of 248,195 U.S. veterans who held active U.S. Government life insurance policies in 1953 (15). Most of the enrollees were healthy white male veterans of World War I; 82% were white-collar or skilled workers. Mortality data are available on 54,344 men 35-84 years old who never smoked regularly and who were followed from July 1, 1954, to December 31, 1962 (15).

The ACS "cancer prevention study" prospectively followed a cohort of 440,558 men and 562,671 women who were enrolled between October 1959 and February 1960 by 68,116 ACS volunteer workers (16). The study area included 1,121 counties of all sizes and types in 25 States, and the enrollees were essentially all white, not seriously ill, and generally above average in socioeconomic status. Mortality data are available on 95,849 males 35-84 years old who never smoked regularly and who were followed from date of enrollment to September 30, 1963. Age-specific death rates have been calculated from the deaths and person-years accumulated after an average 46 months of follow-up (16). The published data are given according to age at start of study and have been modified with a life table correction to make them comparable with data given according to attained age at death. Lung cancer rates are also published for this same cohort followed through June 30, 1972 (17), but these rates are not available in sufficient detail to warrant presentation here.

Inasmuch as no detailed data are available for na-

Inasmuch as no detailed data are available for national mortality surveys or large-scale epidemiologic studies since 1968, one source for more recent lung cancer mortality rates among white male nonsmokers is data on active Mormons in California and Utah. Active Mormons here are the Church leaders known as High Priests and Seventies. These men can be characterized as lifetime or long-term Mormons who adhere strictly to the "Word of Wisdom," a Church doctrine forbidding the use of all forms of tobacco, alcohol, coffee, and tea and recommending dietary moderation (18).

They can be effectively considered to be a cohort of males who never smoked; this assumption has been tentatively confirmed by actual survey data on a small sample of High Priests and Seventies (19). Using Mormon Church records, we obtained data on both the deaths and the population at risk, as described elsewhere (18). The lung cancer mortality rates have been calculated for an average 13,500 California High Priests and Seventies during 1968-75 and 50,000 Utah High Priests and Seventies during 1970 and 1975. A total of 63 lung cancer deaths have occurred among 208,000 person-years of mortality experience for ages 35 to 84 years. These data are detailed elsewhere [(19): Enstrom JE: Unpublished data). Active Mormons are not a representative sample of all U.S. white males who have never smoked. but they are similar in total mortality to the U.S. veteran and ACS cohorts of nonsmokers, as will be discussed later.

RESULTS

Lung Cancer Mortality Rates

The age-specific U.S. lung cancer mortality rates for nonsmokers and the total population are shown in table 1 for white males and in table 2 for white females. Table 3 shows lung cancer mortality rates from U.S. epidemiologic studies for white male nonsmokers who are not necessarily representative of the nation but who provide additional data on nonsmokers. The rates are given in 10-year intervals from ages 35 to 84 years, with an overall age-adjusted rate standardized to the 1960 U.S. population. Several comments below explain the

The 1914 age-specific rates are for all U.S. whites in the death registration area. Inasmuch as no breakdown is available by smoking status, the assumption will be made that in 1914 nonsmokers had the same rates as the total population. This probably overestimates the true nonsmoker rates, but is reasonable on the basis that cigarette smoking was a relatively rare and minor habit in 1914; only a small percentage of the men and essentially no women had ever smoked cigarettes, and the annual cigarette consumption was 300 per person at least 15 years of age (20, 21). By comparison, in 1955 about 70% of the men and 40% of the women had ever smoked cigarettes (20), and the annual cigarette consumption was 3,500 per person at least 15 years of age (21). Use of cigars, pipes, and other tobacco products has declined greatly since 1914 (21) and hence has no major influence on recent lung cancer rates compared with the influence of eigarette smoking.

Rapid changes in nonsmoker rates after 1914 can be seen by a comparison of the 1914 and 1935 age-specific rates for all U.S. whites. In 1935, males 65 years old and above and females 45 years old and above can effectively be considered to have never smoked cigarettes on the basis of the 1955 survey (20). The relative increases between 1914 and 1935 are approximately a factor of 10 for ages 65 years and above

Agrespecific lung cancer rates are published for 1958

³ 1966-68 National Mortality Survey National Center for Health Statistics. Rockville, Md. Unpublished technical notes and computer tapes. 1976.

^{*} Godles EH. Cigaretie Smoking Social Factors and Moriality New Estimates from Representative National Samples Unpublished thesis. University of Maryland College Park, Md. 1974

Rising Lung Cancer Mortslity Among Nonsmokers

Table 1—Annual age-specific lung cancer mortality rates for US white males in 1914, 1935, 1958, and 1966-66 ding to smoking status (deaths/100.000 persons)

Age, yr	Never smoked cigareties		Never smoked.	Never smoked cigarettes		Total U.S population			
	1914*	1935"	1958	1958	1966-68'	1914"	1935 *	1958	1966-60
35-44 45-54 55-64 65-74 75-84 35-84	0.5 (20) 14 (43) 30 (55) 26 (26) 1.2 (4) 1.6 (148)	26 7 23 3	1.8 (3) 8 4 (5) 12 7 (15) 25 0 (25) 65 0 (32)' 10 8 (80)	1.8 (3) 8.4 (5) 14.2 (15) 83.7 (25) 69.7 (32)' 18.3 (80)	2.3 (2) 8.5 (3) 82.2 (24) 65.6 (43) 89.9 (35) 22.8 (108)	0.5 (20) 1.4 (43) 8.0 (55) 2.6 (26) 1.2 (4) 1.6 (148)	4.2 13.2 22.0 26.7 23.3 14.6	9 0 46.5 131.4 185.2 147.9 77.6	12 9 58 6 170 7 297 0 273 1 113 3
	(1. 3)	(9)	(12)	·	(13), ser text foot- notes 3	(3, 5)	(9)	(10)	(10)

^{*} Based on 1914 U.S. death registration area (5), rates for males who never smoked cigarettes have been assumed to be the same

Table 2 - Annual age-specifu lung concer mortality rates for U.S white females in 1914. 1995. 1958-59, and 1966-68 according to smoking status (deaths/100,000 persons)

	Never smoked				Total U.S population				
Age, yr	1914*	1935 '	1958-59	1966-68'	1914'	1935 '	1958-59	1966-68	
35-44	05 (19)		9.7 (7)	0.5 (1)	0.5 (19)	2.0 (155)	2.8	49	
45-54	12 (31)	80 (315)	38 (42)	27 (6)	1.2 (31)	5.0 (315)	81	15 &	
55-64	22 (41)	98 (419)	104 (101)	11 4 (24)	2.2 (41)	9.8 (419)	14.6	26 8	
65-74	2 2 (25)	145 (372)	21 0 (152)	19.6 (37)	2.2 (25)	14.5 (372)	24 5	36 0	
75-64	1.5 (8)	145 (136)	34 0 (154)	88.9 (55)	1.5 (8)	14.5 (136)	85 9	44 3	
35-84	13 (124)	_	83 (456)	8.3 (123)	1.9 (124)	6.9 (1,397)	11.7	19 1	
telerences	(1, 5)	(9)	(12)	(13), see text footnotes 3 and 4	(1. 5)	(9)	(10)	(10)	

^{*} Based on 1914 U.S. death registration area (5), rates for females who never smoked have been assumed to be the same as those for

U.S. white males and 1958-59 U.S. white females who "never smoked" (11, 12). The age-specific rates for ages 75-84 years were assumed to be the same as the published rates for ages 75 years and above, inasmuch as 85% of the population over 75 years is between ages 75-84 years; the error in this assumption is less than 5% 10. 10.

Age-specific lung cancer mortality rates for 1966-68 U.S. white males and white females who never smoked cigarenes were calculated from unpublished national survey data. We obtained age-specific tates by dividing the weighted sample of lung cancer deaths among white nonsmokers and the total white population, as procured from the 1966-68 National Monahiv Survey, by the appropriate number of U.S white nonsmokers and total white population as determined from 1967 Current Population Survey of cigarette smoking habits (13).4 Finally, each nonsmoker rate was adjusted slightly when we madaplied the ratio of nonsmoker rate to total population rate as determined from the survey by the actual corresponding 1966-68 U.S. vital statistics rate. a somewhat similar adjustment procedure was used on the 1958-59 survey data (72). Lung cancer deaths in both the 1958-59 and 1906-68 surveys are defined as

Line . 22

as those for all males (see text) Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based. For ages 65 and above, rates for males who never smoked cigarettes have been assumed to be the same as those for all males

⁽see text)
"Never smoked" is defined as "never smoked as many as 5-10 packs of cigarettes, 50-75 cigars, or 3-5 packages of pipe tobacco

during entire life" (11) Numbers in parentheses are the No. of deaths on which rate is based.

"Each age-specific "never smoked cigarettes" rate is obtained by multiplying 1958 "never smoked" rate by the ratio of "never smoked cigarettes" rate to "never smoked" rate given in table 3 for 1954-63 pooled cohort. Numbers in parentheses are the No. of deaths

on which rate is based.
"Never smoked cigarettes" is defined as "never smoked as many as 5 packs of cigarettes in entire life" (see text footnote 3) Numbers. in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based

These 1958 data are for ages 75 yr and over (see text). Age-adjusted by the direct method to the 1960 U.S. population 35-84 yr old

all females the text! Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based.

* For ages 45 and above, rates for females who never smoked have been assumed to be the same as those for all females (see text)

Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based.
"Never smoked" is defined as "never smoked as many as 5-10 packs of cigarettes during entire life" (12) Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based.

"Never smoked" is defined as "never smoked as many as 5-10 packs of cigarettes during entire life" (12) Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based.

Never smoked equivalent to never smoked cigareties," which is defined as "never smoked as many as 5 packs of cigareties in entire life" live text) Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based

These 1958-59 data are for ages 75 yr and over (see text)
Age-adjusted by the direct method to the 1960 U.S population 35-84 yr old

TABLE 3 —Annual age-specific lung cancer mortality rates and total mortality rates among selected non-moking white male populations in the United States (deaths/100,000) persons)

	1954-62. U.S	veteran cohort	1960-63. ACS cohort		1954-63. p			
Age, yr	Never smoked or occasional only	Never smoked cigarettes'	Never smoked regularly	Never amoked cigarettes	Never amoked	Never smoked eigarettes'	1968-75 active Mormons	
			Lung cancer	death rates				
35-44 45-54 65-64 65-74 75-84 35-84	0 (0) 0 (0) 10 (25) 32 (49) 50 (4) 9.4 (78)	0 (0) 5 (1) 12 (49) 38 (97) 60 (9) 127 (156)	4 (2) 5 (9) 15 (19) 15 (10) 44 (9) 10.4 (49)	3 (2) 5 (13) 18 (35) 29 (34) 56 (20) 18.4 (104)	2 (2) 5 (9) 12 (44) 26 (59) 45 (13) 10.8 (127)	2 (2) 5 (14) 14 (84) 35 (131) 57 (29) 13.1 (260)	0 (0) 10 (6) 28 (14) 54 (19) 145 (24) 24.5 (63)	
			Total des				:	
35-841	1.028 (6.932)	1,064 (11,727)	1,125 (5.184)	1.163 (8.797)	1.085 (12.116)	1,120 (20.524)	1.029 / 2.629 /	
Ratio of lung cancer to total death rate, %	0.91	1 19	0.92	1.15	1.00	1.17	2:38	
References	[(15), appendit pp 30, 58, 8	table A.	((16), tables 19 table 2a, see table A-1)]	9, 22, appendix text footnote 4.			(29)	

^{* &}quot;Never smoked cigarettes" is defined as the combination of "never smoked or occasional only" and "current smokers and ex-smoker:

Age-adjusted by the direct method to the 1960 US population 35-84 yr old

those with International Classification of Disease numbers 162 and 163 (11).9 Lung cancer deaths for the earlier years were defined by the international classification in effect in 1914 and 1935 (5, 9).

Because the 1966-68 mortality survey did not have a "never smoked" classification, lung cancer mortality raies are presented for males who "never smoked cigarettes." For females, there is no difference between "never smoked" and "never smoked cigarettes." because the use of cigars and pipes by females is negligible. To estimate the effect of the male smoking classification differences, data from the U.S. veteran and ACS prospective cohort studies are presented in table 3. The U.S. veteran lung cancer death rates are given for the two categories "never smoked or occasionally only" and "never smoked cigarettes," the latter being defined as the combination of "never smoked or occasionally only" and "current smokers and ex-smokers of pipes and or cigars only" (15). The ACS lung cancer death rates are given for the two categories "never smoked regularly" and "never smoked cigareties," the latter being defined as the combination of "never smoked regularly," "pipe only," "cigar only," and "pipe and cigar" (16) The deaths and person-years at risk from the two cohorts are prooled and presented for the categones "never smoked" and "never smoked cigarettes." The age-admissed rate for "never smoked cigarettes" of 13.1 per 100,000 persons is about 20% higher than the rate for "never smoked" of 10.8 per 100,000 persons. Note that the "never smoked" rates for the 1954-63 pooled cohort and for 1958 U.S. white males are identical. Also, the "never smoked" rates for the pooled cohort in table 3 agree with a previous pooling calculation (22). With the use of the ratio of cohort rates. the rate for 1958 U.S. white males who "never smoked cigarettes" is estimated to be 13.3 per 100,000 persons as explained in table 1.

Age-specific lung cancer mortality rates for active Mormons from California and Utah from 1968 to 197: are also given in table 3. These results are included for comparison with the U.S. veteran and ACS cohorts in lieu of recent national mortality data on nonsmokers Active Mormons and the two nonsmoking cohorts have nearly identical total death rates, which suggests that these groups are similar in overall health. However the active Mormons have a lung cancer death rate of 25 per 100,000 persons, which is about double the cohor rates. The ratio of lung cancer to total death rate is shown in table 3 to licilitate comparison of the various cohorts

Table 1 indicates that between 1914 and 1966-68 the lung cancer death rate for white males who "neve smoked cigarettes" increased by about 30-fold for age 65-84 years and by about 15-fold for ages 35-84 years Most of the relative increase occurred before 1985, bu there appears to be a continued increase up to the pre-

of pipes and/or eigars only" (15) Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on which rate is based "Never smoked eigarettes" is defined as the combination of "never smoked regularly. "Dipe only." "cigar only." and "pipe and eigar" (16), published data, given according to age at start of study, have been modified with a life table correction (see text footnote 4) to give them according to age at death like results from the other studies in this table. Numbers in parentheses are the No of deaths on

which rate is based. Pooled cohort is defined by a combination of the deaths and person-years of observation in the U.S. veteran and ACS cohorts, this cohort consists almost entirely of white males. Numbers in parenthers are the No of deaths on which rate is based. Active Mormons are defined to be High Priests and Seventies in California during 1968-75 and in Utah during 1970 and 1975, this

cohort can be considered to consist almost entirely of white males who have never smoked (see text). Numbers in parentheses are the No. of deaths on which rate is based

sent, based on the 1966-68 national survey and 1968-75 active. Mormon rates.

The results for women are similar, but the changes are less dramatic: Table 2 indicates that between 1914 and 1906-68 the lung cancer death rate for white females who "never simoked" increased by about 18-fold for ages 65-84 years and by about sevenfold for ages 35-84 years. Most of the relative increase occurred before 1935, but some increases continued in the older age groups up to 1958-59. However, since 1958-59 no further increase has been noted.

Sources of Error

From the data presented in tables 1-3, only the gross changes should be considered definitive, because smaller changes possibly are due to various types of error that may be present. For instance, no claim is being made that the lung cancer death rate for U.S. white male nonsmokers was precisely 1.7 times as high in 1966-68 as in 1958, only that the 1966-68 rate is much greater than the 1914 and 1935 rates and appears to be somewhat greater than the 1958 rate.

First, there is the statistical error associated with surveys. An example of this is the small number of lung cancer deaths in the 1914 data among persons over 75 years of age and the 1958-59 and 1966-68 "never smoked" categories among persons between the ages of 35 and 54 years. Second, there is respondent error in the surveys due to the fact that the smoking habits of all the deceased and many of the living were determined from proxies, usually the spouses, and not from the affected individuals themselves (23). This error may be greatest for older women, who are usually not survived by their husbands. However, the error has been shown to be quite small among nonsmokers in one study (11). and it is reasonable to assume that whatever error might be present is similar in the 1958-59 and 1966-68 surveys. Third, smoker classification varies. For instance, the 1966-68 survey classified persons as "never smoked cigarettes," whereas the 1958-59 survey used "never smoked." However, the 1958 rates for males who "never smoked cigarettes" have been estimated by use of results from the 1954-65 cohort studies in table 3. Fourth, there may be undisclosed biases or errors in the 1958-59 and 1966-68 mortality and population surveys that make them unrepresentative of the U.S. population and that could affect the death rates in either direction. But the remarkably good agreement in nonsmoker lung cancer death rates for 1958 U.S. white males and the 1954-63 pooled cohort tends to diminish the likelihood of any large-scale errors in the 1958 survey. The 1966-68 surver data have been examined in gical detail elsewhere and have been found to be quite reliable * Furthermore. the total white male and white female lung cancer death rates estimated from the two surveys agreed within a small percentage with the corresponding rates obtained from U.S. vital sutistics

Finally, and probably most importantly, there have been changes in the diagnosis and certification of death due to lung cancer. These developments took place primarily brtween 1920 and 1950, with increasing use of chest radiology, bronchoscopy, and cytology and referral of patients to hospitals for diagnosis (1, 24). For instance, if 5% of the deaths certified to respiratory tuberculosis in 1914 were really due to lung cancer, the reported 1914 lung cancer rates would be increased by a factor of 7 for males and a factor of 5 for females, larger errors would further increase the 1914 rates (8). Recent estimates indicate that diagnostic improvements may have accounted for up to a tenfold increase in the lung cancer death rates in the United Kingdom since 1916 (24). The precise effect of diagnostic improvements on the U.S. lung cancer death rates is unknown.

DISCUSSION

By use of the data available on the U.S. population from 1914 to 1968, it appears that the relative increase in the lung cancer mortality rate for nonsmokers has been large. The increase has occurred in all age groups from 35 to 84 years, but it is concentrated in those over 65 years. The increase for white males 65-84 years old has been about 30-fold, whereas the increase for all white males 35-84 years old has been about 15-fold, on the basis of the reasonable assumption that the rates in 1914 describe nonsmokers. The sevenfold increase for white females 35-84 years old follows the same pattern as that for white males, though it has been less dramatic. Most of the relative increases apparently have occurred before 1935, but significant increases have occurred since then, particularly in persons 65 years old and above. As seen in tables 1 and 2, the 1966-68 age-adjusted lung cancer death rate for white male nonsmokers (22.8 100,000 persons) is about one-third the 1958 rate for all white males (77.6/100,000 persons) and the 1966-68 rate for white female nonsmokers (8.3/100,000 persons) is only slightly less than the 1958-59 rate for all white females (11.7-100,000 persons). These comparisons indicate the current magnitude of lung cancer in persons who never smoked cigarettes.

Furthermore, the rising lung cancer rate among nonsmokers implies that other factors must have a substantial influence on lung cancer mortality in addition to personal cigarette smoking. Among the influences that could be affecting both smokers and nonsmokers are changes in diagnostic criteria, increases in environmental carcinogens, and certain constitutional factors (2). No rigorous data are available on the effects of diagnostic changes, but various estimates suggest that the true 1914 rates could be much larger than the reported rates (1, 2). As mentioned earlier, if 5% of the deaths certified to respiratory tuberculosis in 1914 were really due to lung cancer, the reported 1914 lung cancer rates would be increased by a factor of 3-7. The early mortality data may also have been influenced by other relationships between tuberculosis and lung cancer, which are explored in detail elsewhere (25). Before 1935, diagnostic changes probably had the most impact on increasing lung cancer rates. More recently, environmental carcinogens and constitutional factors

may have had a significant effect. Environmental carcinogens encompass occupational carcinogens like asbestos (26, 27) and environmental pollution including environmental tobacco smoke (28, 29). Constitutional factors include genetics and general host susceptibility (1, 2).

Before any final conclusions can be drawn, the lung cancer rates among nonsmokers, especially since 1950, should be confirmed with further analysis of the existing national surveys and cohort studies, as well as with another national survey to measure nonsmoker lung cancer rates in the current decade. But if one takes the available data as a whole, apparently lung cancer rates among nonsmokers have now reached significant levels. Indeed, the 1966-68 national survey and 1968-75 active Mormon survey indicate that the annual ageadjusted lung cancer death rate among white males who never smoked cigarenes is now approximately 25 per 100,000 persons for ages 35-84 years. If one assumes that these findings are accurate, then additional understanding of lung cancer etiology is necessary to supplement the well-established knowledge involving cigarette smoking. Further study of nonsmokers could provide an important means of ascertaining the non-smokingrelated aspects of lung cancer etiology.

REFERENCES

- (1) Smoking and Health-Report of the Advisors Committee to the Surgeon General of the Public Health Service, Public Health Sery Publ No. 1103 Washington, D.C. U.S. Govi Print Ollice. 1964
- (2) BURCH PR. The Biology of Cancer A New Approach. Baltimore Univ Park Press. 1976
- (3) CORNFIELD J. HAENSTEL W. HAMMOND EC. et al: Smoking and lung cancer Recent evidence and a discussion of some questions. J. Nail Cancer Inst. 22:173-203. 1959

 (4) Harvatta W. Epidemiological tests of theories of lung cancer.
- enology Public Health Rep 71:163-172, 1936
- (5) Mortality from Cancer and other Malignant Tumors in the Registration Area of the United States, 1914, Bureau of the Census, U.S. Dept Commerce, Washington, D.C.: U.S. Gove Print Oll. 1916
- (6) GOVER M. Cancer Mortality in the United States. 1 Trend of Recorded Cancer Mortality in the Death Registration States of 1900, from 1900 to 1935 Public Health Bulletin No 248 Washington, DC U.S Govi Print Off, 1939
- (7) Mil Mort BK. Trend of lung-cancer mortality in the United States Some limitations of available statistics. J. Natl Cancer Inst 16 267-284, 1935
- (8) GILLIAM AG Trends of mortality attributed to carcinoma of the lung Possible effects of faulty certification of deaths to other respiratory diseases Canter 8 1130-1136, 1955
 (9) GORDIN T. CRITTENDEN M. HAESSELL W. Canter mortality
- trends in the United States, 1930-1955 Natl Canter Inst Monogr 6 133-350. 1961

(10) National Center for Health Statistics Vital Statistics of the United States, Annual Reports for 1958, 1959, 1966, 1967, 1968 Rockville, Md. Nail Center Health Stat Washington, DC U.S. Govi Print Oll

- (11) HAINGIL W. LOVELAND DB. SIRAIN MG Lungcancer mortality as related to residence and smoking histories. I. White males J Natl Cancer Inst 28 947-1001, 1962
- (12) HALNELL W. TARDER KE Lung cancer mortality as related to residence and smoking histories. Il White females J Natl Cancer Inst 32.803-838, 1964
- (13) National Center for Health Statistics Cigarette Smoking Status-June 1966. August 1967, and August 1968 Monthly Vital Statistics Report, vol 18. No. 9 (suppl Dec. 5, 1969) Rockvelle, Md.: Natl Center Health Stat. 1969
- (14) GODLEY F. KRUEGEL DL. Cigarette Smoking and Differential Mortality. New Estimates from Representative National Samples, in Health United States, 1975. DHEW Publ No. (HRA) 76-1232. Rockville, Md. Nati Center Health Sut. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Gove Print Olf. 1976, p 463
- (15) KAHN HA: The Dorn study of smoking and mortality among U.S. veterans: Report on eight and one-half years of obervation Natl Cancer Inst Monogr 19.1-125, 1966
- (16) HAMMOND EC: Smoking in relation to the death rates of one million men and women Natl Cancer Institute Monogr 19. 127-201. 1966
- (17) HAMMOND EC. GARFINKEL L. LEW EA: Longevity, selective mortality, and competitive risks in relation to chemical carcinogenesis. Environ Res. 16:155-173, 1978
- (18) ENSTROM JE. Cancer mortality among Mormons Cancer 36 825-841, 1975
- -: Cancer and total mortality among active Mormons Cancer 42:1943-1951, 1978
- (20) HAENSEEL W. SHIMMIN MB. MILLER HP: Tobacco Smoking Patierns in the United States, Public Health Monogr 45:1-105 1956
- (21) MILMORE BK. CONOVER AG: Tobacco consumption in the United States, 1880 to 1955. Public Health Monogr 45:107-111. 1956
- (22) DOLL R: The age-distribution of cancer Implications for models of careinogenesis. J. R. Stat Society, Series A (General 134:133-166, 1971
- (23) AHMED Pl. GLEESON GA: Changes in Cigarette Smoking Habit Between 1955 and 1966 Public Health Serv Publ No 1000 Series 10, No. 59 Rockville, Md., Nail Center Health Sur Washington, D.C. U.S. Gove Print Off, 1970
- (24) Royal College of Physicians Smoking or Health The Thire Report from the Royal College of Physicians of London London Palman Medical Publ Co., 1977, p 53
- (25) Aoxi K. IPSEN J. STEIN SC. Studies in the epidemiology of lung cancer in relation to pulmonary tuberculosis. In Epidemi ology Aspects of Tuberculosis in Japan and in large (Klingberg MA, Turner I, eds.) Ness-Ziona Israel Institute lo Biological Research, 1969, pp 30-56
- (26) SAFFIOTTI U. WAGONER J. eds. Occupational Carcinogenesis Ann NY Acad Sci 271.1-516, 1976
- (27) SELIKOFF IJ Cancer risk of asbesios exposure In Origins o Human Cancer (Hiati HH. Watson JD. Winsten JA. eds) Cold Spring Harbor, New York Cold Spring Harbor Labora
- tory, 1977, pp 1765-1784 (28) ROSINFLED C, DAVIS W, eds. Environmental pollution and car einogenie risks IARC Sci Publ 13 1-454, 1976
- (29) Editorial Breathing other people's smoke Bt Med J 3 453-454