MEMORANDUM FROM:

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS A. NITTI

1250 Sixth Street, Suite 205 Santa Monica, CA 90401-1637 Tel: (310) 393-1524 Fax: (310) 576-3581

10: John Katangian	
From: Thomas A. Nitti	
Date: August 31, 2009	
Re: People of the State of California v. K	Key Disposal, Inc.
Enclosed please find COPY/ORIGINAL	of: Stipulated Injunction and Order
***********	*****
Please sign and return	Please sign, have notarized and return
We need your answers to the enclosed	For your comments
Please call our office	Please call our office for an appointment
Please review	For your information
Per your request	
Other:	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Facsimile: (213) 897-2802 Attorneys for Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, ex rel., the California Air Resources	VG WINDOW AUG 2 0 2009
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel., THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD, Plaintiff, v. KEY DISPOSAL, INC., a California corporation; JOHN KATANGIAN, an individual, Defendants.	Case No. BC 393098 STIPULATED INJUNCTION AND ORDER Dept: 24 Assigned to: Hon. Judge Robert L. Hess
25 26 27 28	Plaintiff, People of the State of California e Defendants Key Disposal Inc. and John Katangia	n (collectively "Parties") stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on May 26, 2009, the Parties reached a settlement agreement in the above entitled case;

WHEREAS, on May 26, 2009, in Department 24, before the Honorable Judge Robert L.

Hess, the Parties stated and agreed to all material terms of the settlement agreement including the injunction on the record (Settlement);

WHEREAS, the Parties and Court agreed that the Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties and their Settlement pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6.

THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED THAT:

Pursuant to the Parties' Settlement, the Court shall enter an Injunction Order against Defendants upon the following terms:

- 1. On or before September 29, 2009, Defendants shall bring their entire fleet of Solid Waste Collection Vehicles as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 13, section 2021, including any vehicles added to that fleet, which are owned or leased in whole or in part, by any of the Defendants (SWCV Fleet), and their entire fleet of heavy-duty diesel-powered vehicles as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 13, section 2191, including any vehicles added to that fleet, which are owned or leased in whole or in part, by any of the Defendants (PSIP Fleet), into full compliance with:
 - a. California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2190 through 2194 (the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program);
- California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2020 et seq. (the Solid Waste
 Collection Vehicle rules).
- 2. Defendants may take any vehicle which is not brought into compliance by September 29, 2009, out of service by i) immediately ceasing the use of the vehicle on the streets or highways of the State of California, and ii) sending a written affidavit by facsimile and by mail to the Air Resources Board stating that the vehicle is not and will not be used on the streets or highways of the State of California at any time until the vehicle is brought into compliance with

the Solid Waste Collection Vehicle rules and the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program, which compliance shall be verified by the Air Resources Board on a mutually agreeable date.

- The Air Resources Board shall inspect the Defendants' SWCV Fleet and PSIP Fleet as described in paragraph 1 above, on a mutually agreeable date on or before September 29, 2009;
- 4. At all times after September 29, 2009, Defendants shall maintain their entire SWCV Fleet and PSIP Fleet in full compliance with:
 - a. California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2190 through 2194 (the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program);
 - b. California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2020 et seq. (the Solid Waste
 Collection Vehicle rules).
- 5. If the Air Resources Board believes that any violation of this injunction has taken place, then it or its counsel shall meet and confer with Defendants or Defendants' counsel, in an attempt to resolve any dispute without Court intervention;
- 6. If after the meet and confer takes place, the Air Resources Board believes that a violation of the injunction has not been resolved, then it or its counsel shall give notice by facsimile and by mail to Defendants' counsel that the Defendants shall have five (5) days to cure the violation of the injunction or take the vehicle out of service pursuant to paragraph 2 above (Cure Period);
- 7. If the ARB determines that the violation(s) has not been cured following expiration of the Cure Period, then the Air Resources Board may bring a regularly noticed Motion before this Court to ask the Court to determine what, if any, violation(s) of the injunction has occurred;
- 8. If the Court determines that any violation(s) of the injunction has occurred, then the Air Resources Board shall be entitled immediately to enter the agreed upon Judgment of \$500,000 pursuant to the terms of the Parties' Settlement and to collect upon that Judgment less credit for any monies already received pursuant to the Parties' Settlement;
- 9. If the Air Resources Board determines that any violation(s) of the injunction has occurred, then the Air Resources Board reserves the right to take any and all appropriate action, including, but not limited to revoking the registration(s) of the offending vehicle(s) pursuant to

STOFFCOED

Vehicle Code section 4755 and/or bringing a s	congrete action in any court of competent
	tions, notwithstanding any other remedy provided
	ions, notwinistanding any other tomosy present
herein;	O James for the county deems
d .	er to Order any further remedy the Court deems
necessary to enforce this Order, including, but	t not limited to, instituting contempt proceedings.
IT IS SO STIPULATED	
Dated: August [0, 2009	Respectfully Submitted.
	EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California RICHARD J. MAGASIN Supervising Deputy Attorney General
	NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER
	Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for California Air Resources Board
Dated: August 10. 2009	Respectfully Submitted,
	LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS A NITTI
	(AA
	THOMAS A NITTI- Attorneys for Defendants
FOR DEFENDANTS KEY DISPOSAL INC	. AND JOHN KATANGIAN
Dated: (lug. 10, 2009	
	AL LANGIAN
	JOHN KATANOMI

STIPULATED INJUNCTION AND ORDER

IT IS SO	DRDERED
----------	---------

Date: 8/20/, 2009

Honorable Robert I. Hess

Honorable Robert L. Hess Judge, California Superior Court

DECLARATION OF SERVICE BY U.S. MAIL

Case Name: People of the State of California ex rel. the California Air Resources Board v.

Key Disposal, Inc., et al.

Case No.: LASC BC 393098

I declare:

I am employed in the Office of the Attorney General, which is the office of a member of the California State Bar, at which member's direction this service is made. I am 18 years of age or older and not a party to this matter. I am familiar with the business practice at the Office of the Attorney General for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. In accordance with that practice, correspondence placed in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General is deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day in the ordinary course of business.

On August 27, 2009, I served the attached,

STIPULATED INJUNCTION AND ORDER (Order Granted)

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid, in the internal mail collection system at the Office of the Attorney General at 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, CA 90013, addressed as follows:

Thomas A. Nitti Law Offices of Thomas A. Nitti 1250 Sixth Street, Suite 205 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Counsel for Defendants

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California the foregoing is true and correct and that this declaration was executed on <u>August 27, 2009</u>, at Los Angeles, California.

Gwen Blanchard

Declarant

Signature

LA2007602071 60452922.doc

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS A. NITTI 1250 Sixth Street, Suite 205 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Phone (310) 393-1524 Fax (310) 576-3581

Sent to Fax Number:

323-721-8601

Date Sent: 10/14/09

Number of Pages including this Cover Sheet:

27

To:

Shelly Katangian and John Katangian

From:

Thomas A. Nitti

Regarding: People of the State of California v. Key Disposal

Message: see attached

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL OUR OFFICE IMMEDIATELY AT (310) 393-1524.

THE FOLLOWING PAGES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND ARE TO BE READ BY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT ONLY. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FAX IN ERROR, PLEASE CONTACT OUR OFFICE IMMEDIATELY AT (310) 393-1524, COLLECT.

Bull

Iranscripts from attorney.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT LA 24

HON. ROBERT L. HESS, JUDGE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
EX REL., THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES)
BOARD,

PLAINTIFF,

-VS-

)BC 393098

KEY DISPOSAL, INC., A CALIFORNIA

CORPORATION; JOHN KATANGIAN, AN

INDIVIDUAL; SHELLINE "SHELLY" KATANGIAN)

AN INDIVIDUAL; AND DOES 1-50, INCLUSIVE,)

DEFENDANTS.

REPORTER'S EXPEDITED TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

TUESDAY, MAY 26, 2009

APPEARANCES:

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:

LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS A NITTI ATTORNEY AT LAW 1250 SIXTH STREET

SUITE 205

SANTA MONICA, CA 90401

FOR THE DEFENDANTS:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

BY: ALLAN ONO

BY: NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER 300 SOUTH SPRING STREET

SUITE 1702

LOS ANGELES, CA 90013

CAROL L. CRAWLEY, CSR 7518

VOLUME 1 OF 1 PAGES 1-24

28

LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA; TUESDAY, MAY 26, 2009 2 DEPARTMENT 24 HONORABLE JUDGE ROBERT H. HESS 3 A.M. 4 5 APPEARANCES: (AS PREVIOUSLY NOTED ON TITLE PAGE) 6 7 (CAROL L. CRAWLEY, OFFICIAL REPORTER.) 8 9 THE COURT: GOOD AFTERNOON, COUNSEL. 10 APPEARANCES, PLEASE. 11 MR. ANO: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL ALLAN ONO FOR THE 12 PLAINTIFF. 13 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL NOEL 14 GOLDEN-KRASNER. 15 MR. NITTI: THOMAS NITTI FOR THE DEFENDANT, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND YOU HAVE BEEN SUCCESSFUL IN 16 17 RESOLVING THE MATTER BEFORE JUDGE QUAN. 18 MR. ANO: THAT IS CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 19 THE COURT: CONGRATULATIONS. HAS SOMEBODY GOT WRITTEN 20 OUT SOMEWHERE WHAT THE TERMS THE SETTLEMENT ARE. 21 MR. ANO: YES, YOUR HONOR. 22 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU GO THROUGH AND TELL ME WHAT THE TERMS ARE, AND I WILL MAKE NOTES, AND I MAY HAVE 23 24 QUESTIONS ABOUT IT, AND WE WILL SEE WHERE WE STAND. 25 GO AHEAD. 26 MR. ONO: FIRST WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE COURT RETAIN

THE MONEY TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT ARE AS FOLLOWS:

JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO 64.6.

2

1 THE DEFENDANTS WILL PAY \$250,000 PAYABLE IN 24 2 EQUAL MONTHLY PAYMENTS. THERE WILL BE A STIPULATION TO A JUDGMENT IN THE 3 4 DOLLAR AMOUNT OF \$500,000. 5 THE JUDGMENT DEBTORS WILL BE DEFENDANTS KEY 6 DISPOSAL INC. AND DEFENDANT JOHN KATANGIAN, SHELLY 7 KATANGIAN, WILL BE DISMISSED FROM THE LAWSUIT. 8 BOTH SIDES TO ABSORB THEIR OWN FEES AND COSTS, AND THE DEPARTMENT WILL RECEIVE A RELEASE OF ANY CLAIMS. 9 10 THE COURT: AND THE RELEASE OF CLAIMS IS FROM WHOM TO 11 WHOM? 12 MR. ONO: FROM SHELLY TO THE PLAINTIFFS, IN EXCHANGE FOR 13 THE DISMISSAL. 14 THE COURT: OKAY. 15 MR. ONO: THE DEFENDANT'S FLEETS OF SOLID WASTE VEHICLES WILL BE BROUGHT INTO COMPLIANCE WITHIN 120 DAYS. 16 17 THE PLAINTIFF WILL ISSUE A STANDARD PRESS RELEASE 18 OR BE ALLOWED TO ISSUE A STANDARD PRESS RELEASE. 19 THERE ARE CURRENTLY SEVEN DMV REGISTRATION HOLDS ON 20 VEHICLES OWNED BY THE DEFENDANTS. THOSE REGISTRATION HOLDS WILL BE RATHER ARB WILL 21 22 REQUEST THAT THE DMV RELEASE THOSE REGISTRATION HOLDS UPON 23 AN ARB CONFIRMATION THAT THOSE SEVEN VEHICLES ARE NOW IN COMPLIANCE WITH ARB LAWS, AND UPON FINALIZATION OF THE 24 25 SETTLEMENT. 26 IN OTHER WORDS, ONCE EVERYTHING IS WRAPPED UP, THE ONE CONTINGENCY, NOT A CONTINGENCY THE ONE ISSUE THAT THE 27

DEFENDANTS WILL ALSO BE ENTERING INTO A STIPULATION FOR AN

- 1 INJUNCTION GOING FORWARD, AND WE DON'T HAVE THE SPECIFIC
- 2 LANGUAGE FOR THAT INJUNCTION.
- 3 THE PARTIES HAVE AGREED I THINK EVERYBODY KNOWS
- 4 MORE OR LESS WHAT THAT LANGUAGE IS GOING TO BE, AND THE
- 5 PARTIES HAVE AGREED TO WORK TOGETHER IN THE NEXT FEW DAYS TO
- 6 PUT THAT TOGETHER.
- 7 THE \$500,000 STIPULATED JUDGMENT WILL BE STAYED
- 8 ENDING THE PAYMENT OF \$250,000 OVER THAT TWO-YEAR PERIOD.
- 9 OBVIOUSLY ANY DEFAULTS ON THAT PAYMENT SCHEDULE
- 10 SUBJECT TO A TEN-DAY CURE NOTICE, OR FOR THAT MATTER ANY
- 11 VIOLATIONS OF THE INJUNCTION GOING FORWARD SUBJECT TO A MEET
- 12 AND CONFER REQUIREMENT WILL TRIGGER THE JUDGMENT.
- 13 THE COURT: JUST A SECOND IS.
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: AND THE FACT THAT WE HAVE GOT AN
- 15 INJUNCTION AND OBVIOUSLY THE ABILITY TO COME TO THIS COURT
- AND SEEK A CONTEMPT ORDER IN THE EVENT THERE IS A VIOLATION
- 17 OF THE INJUNCTION IS NOT A WAIVER ON THE PART OF ARB, ANY
- 18 RIGHTS OR ABILITY TO PURSUE FUTURE VIOLATIONS.
- 19 THE COURT: OKAY. JUST A SECOND.
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: AND THE DEFENDANT IS AGREEABLE OR
- 21 IS GOING TO BE ATTENDING A TRAINING CLASS AT A COMMUNITY
- 22 COLLEGE WITH RESPECT TO SMOKE TESTS.
- 23 THE COURT: WHEN YOU SAY DEFENDANT YOU MEAN.
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: JOHN KATANGIAN AND YOUR MECHANIC.
- MR. KATANGIAN WILL, WITHIN THE NEXT 120 DAYS, BE
- 26 ATTENDING A COMMUNITY COLLEGE CLASS.
- 27 IT IS EFFECTIVELY A SMOKE OPACITY COMPLIANCE CLASS,
- 28 YOUR HONOR.

- ARE THERE ANY OTHER TERMS THAT I HAVE OVERLOOKED? 1 2 MR. NITTI: YEAH, IF THE VEHICLE, VEHICLES ANY VEHICLE 3 NOT BROUGHT INTO COMPLIANCE WITHIN 120 DAYS, CAN BE TAKEN OUT OF SERVICE, BY THE DEFENDANTS PROVIDED THEY GIVE AN 4 5 AFFIDAVIT TO THAT EFFECT AND NOTICE TO THE ARB. 6 THE COURT: JUST A SECOND. 7 MR. ONO: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 8 MR. NITTI: AND THE INTENTION HERE IS THAT NO JUDGMENT 9 IS TO BE ENTERED. IT IS THE \$500,000 JUDGMENT IN THE EVENT 10 OF A DEFAULT THAT THAT COULD POSSIBLY BE ENTERED, BUT THIS 11 IS A STIPULATED SETTLEMENT. THE COURT: LET ME ASK A COUPLE QUESTIONS. 12 13 WHEN IS THE FIRST PAYMENT ON THE \$250,000 TO BE 14 MADE? 15 MR. ONO: RIGHT NOW I BELIEVE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT 16 30 DAYS FOR A FIRST PAYMENT, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE A DAY OF THE MONTH THAT YOU ARE 18 TALKING ABOUT? 19 MR. ONO: I THINK FOR OUR PURPOSES WE CAN PROBABLY GO 20 WITH THE FIRST OF THE MONTH STARTING JULY 1ST. 21 MR. NITTI: THAT SOUNDS FINE. 22 THE COURT: THERE WILL BE A STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF JUDGMENT, AND THE JUDGMENT WILL BE IN THE AMOUNT OF 23 \$500,000, IF THERE IS A BREACH OF THIS, THEY GET CREDIT FOR. 24
- MR. ONO: AMOUNTS PAID.
- 26 THE COURT: THE AMOUNTS PAID, AND THE JUDGMENT IS TO BE
- 27 ENTERED ON EX PARTE APPLICATION OR WHAT IS THE DEAL?
- MR. ONO: WE DIDN'T SPECIFICALLY DISCUSS THAT, BUT I

- 1 WOULD IMAGINE IT WOULD BE WITHOUT FEES ON EX PARTE BECAUSE
- 2 WE WILL BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO CURE.
- 3 THE COURT: THAT IS EX PARTE.
- 4 MR. ONO: THERE IS A TEN-DAY CURE PERIOD WITH RESPECT TO
- 5 NON-PAYMENT ISSUES, AND A MEET AND CONFER OBLIGATION WITH
- 6 RESPECT TO COMPLIANCE ISSUES.
- 7 THE COURT: TALK TO ME A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THAT.
- 8 MR. ONO: WHICH, YOUR HONOR?
- 9 THE COURT: THE MEET AND CONFER WHAT TRIGGERS A MEET AND
- 10 CONFER?
- 11 MR. ONO: A MEET AND CONFER WOULD BE TRIGGERED BY WHAT
- 12 THE ARB CONSIDERS TO BE A BREACH OF THE INJUNCTION, SO IF
- 13 THERE ARE --
- 14 THERE IS A FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH ARB REQUIREMENTS
- 15 SET FORTH IN THE INJUNCTION, I E THE OBEY THE LAW
- 16 INJUNCTION, WE WILL HAVE A MEET AND CONFER WITH THE OTHER
- 17 SIDE SO THAT IN CASE THERE ARE MISUNDERSTANDINGS ANY
- 18 PROBLEMS WE CAN GET THOSE THINGS RESOLVED WITHOUT HAVING TO
- 19 RUN TO THE COURT BEFORE ANYONE COMES IN AND SAYS IS YOUR
- 20 HONOR WE BELIEVE THERE IS A VIOLATION THE INJUNCTION AND
- 21 ASKING THE COURT FOR AN OSC RE CONTEMPT AND ANYTHING ELSE WE
- 22 CAN GET, WE ARE GOING TO HAVE MET AND CONFERRED WITH THE
- 23 DEFENDANT.
- 24 THE COURT: NOW, TALK TO ME CONCEPTUALLY ABOUT WHAT THE
- 25 INJUNCTION IS GOING TO REQUIRE.
- I DON'T HAVE TO HAVE THE DETAILED TERMS, BUT IT IS
- ONE THING TO SAY THERE WILL BE AN INJUNCTION, AND WE SORT OF
- 28 KNOW WHERE WE ARE GOING ON IT, AND ANOTHER THING.

- 6
- 1 MR. ONO: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR. I WILL PASS THE
- 2 BATON TO DEPUTY GOLDEN-KRASNER.
- 3 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: CONCEPT IS THAT THE DEFENDANT WOULD
- 4 COMPLY WITH THE BACT, THE BEST AVAILABLE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY
- 5 REQUIREMENTS, THAT ARE SET FORTH IN THE LAW THAT THEY HAVE
- 6 TO PUT THE DEVICES ON THEIR VEHICLES BY A CERTAIN DATE THAT
- 7 IS SPECIFIED IN THE REGULATIONS, THAT THEY WILL CONDUCT KEEP
- 8 MAINTENANCE RECORDS, THOSE DEVICES, THEY WILL PUT THE
- 9 REQUIRED LABELING, LABELS ON THEIR VEHICLES, THAT INDICATE
- 10 COMPLIANCE NON-COMPLIANCE ENGINE, FAMILY ENGINE NAME ALL
- 11 THAT, AND THEY WILL KEEP ACCURATE RECORDS SOLID WASTE
- 12 VEHICLE COLLECTION RECORDS, AND THAT THEY WILL COMPLY WITH
- 13 THE PERIODIC SMOKE INSPECTION PROGRAM WHICH INCLUDES TENTING
- 14 THEIR TRUCKS ONCE A YEAR AS SPECIFIED IN TITLE 13 CC R, 192
- 15 THROUGH 94, AND THEY WILL KEEP THOSE RECORDS AS REQUIRED.
- 16 THE COURT: IS THERE THEY HAVE 120 DAYS TO COME IN TO
- 17 COMPLIANCE.
- 18 AND WHAT WHEN DOES THAT RUN FROM?
- 19 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: THAT WOULD RUN.
- 20 MR. ONO: FROM TODAY, ARE YOU AGREEABLE 120 DAYS FROM
- 21 TODAY.
- MR. NITTI: WHY DON'T WE USE JULY 1ST, THAT IS EASY.
- 23 THE COURT: THAT.
- MR. ONO: THAT IS 150 DAYS.
- 25 MR. NITTI: THAT IS NOT MY INTENTION USE JUNE 11, 20
- 26 DAYS FROM JUNE 1ST, IS SEPTEMBER 29TH, 2009.
- 27 THE COURT: IS THE CONTEMPLATION HERE THAT VERIFYING
- 28 COMPLIANCE BY THAT DATE THAT THERE WILL BE IS KIND OF

1 7	INSPECTION	AND	TAHW	IF	SO,	WHAT	KIND	AND	WHEN?
-----	------------	-----	------	----	-----	------	------	-----	-------

- 2 WHAT WILL BE DONE OR DO THEY HAVE TO TAKE THE
- 3 VEHICLES ONE BY ONE TO A SMOG STATION OR AN ARB STATION AND
- 4 DEMONSTRATE COMPLIANCE OR ARE YOU GOING TO GO OUT AND
- 5 INSPECT?
- 6 WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN?
- 7 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: WE CAN SET UP AN INSPECTION FOR
- 8 EITHER THAT DATE OR FOR WHATEVER IF THEY COME INTO
- 9 COMPLIANCE EARLIER THEN A MUTUALLY AGREEABLE DATE THAT THE
- ARB WILL COME OUT AND INSPECT THE VEHICLES TO MAKE SURE THEY
- 11 ARE IN COMPLIANCE.
- 12 THE COURT: AND MAYBE THE DEFENSE WANTS TO SET THAT UP A
- 13 FEW DAYS IN ADVANCE SO IF THERE ARE SOME ISSUES, YOU CAN FIX
- 14 IT.
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- 16 THE COURT: NOW, SO THE REGISTRATION HOLDS THE REQUEST
- 17 FOR RELEASE OF THE REGISTRATION HOLDS WILL NOT OCCUR UNTIL
- 18 AFTER THAT 120-DAY DATE; IS THAT CORRECT.
- 19 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: NO, YOUR HONOR WE WILL ARB.
- 20 THE COURT: CONFIRMATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH THE ARB AND
- 21 WHAT?
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YOUR HONOR, IT IS OUR UNDERSTANDING
- 23 THAT DEFENDANT ARE CURRENTLY IN COMPLIANCE WITH THOSE SEVEN
- 24 TRUCKS.
- 25 WE WILL SEND OUT AN ARB INSPECTOR IN THE VERY NEAR
- 26 FUTURE ON THOSE SEVEN, TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE IN FACT
- 27 COMPLIANT, AND THE SECOND PART WAS THAT THE FINALIZATION
- 28 SETTLEMENT AND BY FINALIZATION THE SETTLEMENT YOU MEAN

- 2 TO THE INJUNCTION DO YOU NEED COURT SIGNATURE OR DO YOU JUST
- 3 NEED THEIR.
- 4 MR. ONO: I THINK WE WILL REQUIRE THE COURT SIGNATURE ON
- 5 THAT, YOUR HONOR.
- 6 THE COURT: SO THE COURT SIGNATURE IS, BY THAT TIME,
- 7 NOTIONALLY, WE WILL HAVE THE RELEASES BY SHELLY EXECUTED,
- 8 AND THERE WILL BE A MORE FORMAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, I
- 9 ASSUME, AND YOU WILL HAVE A STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF
- 10 JUDGMENT.
- YOU WILL HAVE A FORM OF PERMANENT INJUNCTION, OR
- 12 YOU WILL HAVE A STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT WHICH WILL NOT BE
- 13 ENTERED, UNLESS THERE IS A DEFAULT; IS THAT CORRECT?
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: CORRECT, DOES THAT GET LODGED, YOUR
- 15 HONOR OR IS THAT JUST.
- 16 THE COURT: WELL, YOU KNOW, I WOULD ASSUME YOU WOULD GO
- 17 AHEAD, AND.
- 18 MR. NITTI: MY EXPERIENCE IS WE JUST REFERENCE THE
- 19 SETTLEMENT, AND THE ATTORNEY GENERAL WILL HOLD THE JUDGMENT
- 20 IN THE FILES.
- 21 THE COURT: THEN YOU FILE THE ORIGINAL WITH YOUR
- 22 DECLARATION.
- NOW, IF THERE IS A PROBLEM, THE NOTICE, I ASSUME
- 24 GOES TO DEFENSE COUNSEL; IS THAT CORRECT OR IS THERE -- ARE
- 25 THERE PROVISIONS OR FOR THE METHOD OF NOTICE AND TO WHOM IT
- 26 GOES?
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: THAT HAS NOT BEEN WORKED OUT, YOUR
- 28 HONOR.

- 1 WE HAVE AGREED TO A CURE PERIOD THEY ARE GOING TO
- 2 ADVISE US WHO GETS NOTICE AND HOW THEY WANT TO GET IT.
- 3 THE COURT: OKAY, AND, AND, WHAT DEFENSE DO YOU HAVE A
- 4 SENSE THAT.
- 5 MR. NITTI: NO, PROBABLY IT WILL PROBABLY BE ME, YOUR
- 6 HONOR, UNLESS THE CLIENT WANTS IT TO GO TO HIMSELF. I
- 7 ROUTINELY GET NOTICES IN SETTLEMENTS LIKE THESE.
- 8 THE COURT: THAT IS WHAT I SUSPECTED. WHAT ABOUT THE
- 9 FORM OF NOTICE? DEFENSE, DO YOU HAVE.
- 10 MR. NITTI: WE USUALLY ASK FOR TWO FAXES AND MAIL.
- 11 THE COURT: IN OTHER WORDS, IT DOESN'T HAVE TO BE
- 12 CERTIFIED MAIL WITH RECEIPT REQUESTED FAXED AND MAILED.
- 13 OKAY. THAT IS FINE.
- 14 LET ME LOOK AT THE NOTES HERE THAT HAVE TAKEN TO
- 15 SEE IF THERE ARE ANY OTHER THINGS BECAUSE TO THE EXTENT WE
- 16 CAN RESOLVE ANY AMBIGUITIES HERE ON THE RECORD, IT IS
- 17 PROBABLY A GOOD IDEA.
- 18 MR. NITTI: I HAVE A COMMENT, YOUR HONOR.
- 19 THE COURT: SIR.
- 20 MR. NITTI: THE IDEA OF A TEN-DAY CURE NOTICE FOR
- 21 NON-PAYMENT, AND THEN EX PARTE IF IT IS NOT MADE MAKES SENSE
- 22 TO ME.
- 23 IT IS PRETTY EASY TO DETERMINE, AND I ASSUME EX
- 24 PARTE MEANS NORMAL EX PARTE YOU GET TELEPHONE NOTICE. IT
- 25 MEANS WE DON'T GO FORWARD.
- 26 THE COURT: I HAVE ASKED ABOUT IT, AND I HAVE SEEN IT ON
- 27 DEFAULT ON PAYMENTS FOR EXAMPLE WHERE THE PARTIES HAVE
- 28 AGREED IF THERE IS A DEFAULT ON PAYMENT YOU GET NOTICE THE

- 1 DEFAULT AND OPPORTUNITY TO CURE, BUT IF IT IS NOT CURED,
- 2 THEY CAN COME IN EX PARTE WITHOUT NOTICE TO GET THE JUDGMENT
- 3 ENTERED.
- 4 MR. NITTI: OKAY. THAT IS, YEAH, THAT IS FINE.
- 5 THE OTHER ONE, THOUGH.
- THE COURT: JUST A SECOND, SO THE TEN DAY CURE APPLIES
- 7 NOT ONLY TO THE PAYMENTS BUT ALSO THE VIOLATIONS OF THE
- 8 INJUNCTION. IT APPLIES TO BOTH.
- 9 MR. NITTI: THAT IS WHERE I THINK A SLIGHT DISTINCTION
- 10 NEEDS TO BE DRAWN. WE WOULD THEREFORE HAVE A MEET AND
- 11 CONFER, BUT LET ME GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE WHERE WE COULD HAVE A
- 12 PROBLEM.
- 13 I THINK THE MEET AND CONFER HAS TO BE TIED IN WITH
- 14 A CURE PERIOD AS WELL. OTHERWISE, IT IS MEANINGLESS.
- 15 THE COURT: LET'S STOP FOR A SECOND. FIRST, THE MEET
- AND CONFER NEEDS TO BE TRIGGERED BY SOME SORT OF NOTICE, AND
- 17 IF YOU ARE ASSUMING NOTICE FAX AND MAIL BY FOR THE DEFAULT
- IN PAYMENT IT SEEMS TO ME FOR SYMMETRY IT IS APPROPRIATE TO
- 19 DO THE SAME THING.
- 20 WE NEED TO HAVE, WE NEED TO HAVE A PROVISION THAT
- 21 PROBABLY THAT THE MEET AND CONFER WILL OCCUR WITHIN A
- 22 CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME AFTER THE NOTICE.
- 23 IS THE CONTEMPLATION THAT IT WILL BE WITHIN TEN
- 24 DAYS AFTER NOTICE OR THAT THAT IS THE CURE PERIOD AFTER
- 25 NOTICE FOR AN ALLEGED VIOLATION?
- 26 HAVE WE GOT A MEETING OF THE MINDS ON THAT?
- MR. NITTI: I THINK MY FEEL WOULD BE TEN DAYS FOR THE
- 28 MEET AND CONFER AND TEN DAYS IN TEN DAYS FOR A CURE.

1	LET ME GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, LET'S SAY MY CLIENT
2	MISSED A DATE, TO HAVE SOMETHING INSTALLED, I DON'T WANT A
3	MEET AND CONFER THAT SAYS YOU MISSED THE DATE.
4	NOW YOU OWE \$500,000. I WANT MR. NITTI, YOU KNOW
5	YOUR CLIENT MISSED THIS DATE YOU KNOW TAKE CARE OF IT.
6	NOW, WE MIGHT DISAGREE ON WHEN THAT DATE IS BECAUSE
7	OF A PARTICULAR TRUCK. IF AFTER WE MEET AND CONFER THE
8	STATE STILL HAS THE SAME POSITION NOW WE HAVE TEN DAYS TO
9	CURE, AND THAT SEEMS TO BE AN ACCEPTABLE WAY TO APPROACH IT.
10	THE COURT: YOU MAY HAVE SOME EQUIPMENT ISSUES.
11	MR. ONO: YOU KNOW, I WOULD NEED TO CONSULT WITH MY
12	CLIENT ON THE BUSINESS OF THE CURE ON THE INJUNCTION.
13	I THINK OUR EXPECTATION WAS GOING TO BE YOU NEED TO
14	BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE INJUNCTION.
15	WE WILL GIVE YOU A MEET AND CONFER TO MAKE SURE
16	THAT THERE IS NO MISTAKE ABOUT WHAT IT IS THAT WE CONTEND IS
17	A VIOLATION BECAUSE IF WE HAVE GOT THE WRONG TRUCK OR
18	SOMETHING LIKE THAT, WE DON'T WANT TO BE COMING IN AND
19	SAYING THEY VIOLATED IT, YOUR HONOR, BUT
20	THE COURT: NOTIONALLY YOU HAVE ARTICULATED WHAT THE
21	PROBLEM IS, IN THE LETTER IN THE NOTICE OKAY, WHY ISN'T TEN
22	DAYS ENOUGH TO EITHER GET IT STRAIGHTENED OUT OR CURED?
23	BECAUSE YOU WILL KNOW NOTIONALLY YOU WILL HAVE
24	PRETTY SPECIFIC NOTICE OF WHAT IT IS THAT THEY SAY, IF IT
25	IS A MISAPPREHENSION YOU OUGHT TO BE ABLE TO CLEAR THAT UP
26	FAIRLY EASILY IF THERE IS IN FACT NON-COMPLIANCE.
27	MR. NITTI: TWO RECENT EXAMPLES WERE SOMEBODY TRANSPOSED
28	A LABEL ON A TRUCK, AND THE STATE WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION

- 1 IT WAS OUT OF COMPLIANCE.
- 2 SECONDLY, MY CLIENT HAS AN EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM
- 3 WHICH IT SEEMS TO CHANGE FROM MONTH TO MONTH WHETHER THAT
- 4 IS OKAY WITH THE STATE OR NOT.
- 5 THE COURT: TALK TO ME ABOUT THIS EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM.
- 6 MR. NITTI: I MEAN THESE ARE THINGS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO
- 7 DISAGREEMENT, AND WE CAN COME TO AN AGREEMENT.
- 8 THE COURT: I WANT TO UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE BECAUSE LOOK,
- 9 I AM NOT SUGGESTING TO YOU WHAT THE RESOLUTION OF ANY OF
- 10 THESE THINGS ARE.
- 11 WHAT I AM TRYING TO DO IS MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND IT
- 12 BECAUSE IF WE HAVE ARTICULATED THESE THINGS IN OPEN COURT
- 13 WITH THE PARTIES PRESENT, OKAY THEN WE HAVE NOTIONALLY A
- 14 COMMON UNDERSTANDING, AND ALL I AM TRYING TO DO IS REMOVE
- 15 THE AMBIGUITIES AS WE CAN RECOGNIZING THAT YOU STILL HAVE TO
- 16 GO OFF AND PREPARE SOME PAPERS.
- OKAY, BUT WHAT WE ARE DOING TODAY HERE IS GOING TO
- 18 FORM THE PARAMETERS FOR WHAT IS PERMISSIBLE IN THOSE PAPERS.
- 19 SO, I WANT, IF I UNDERSTAND THE ISSUE, THEN I CAN UNDERSTAND
- 20 IT IF THERE IS A POTENTIAL FOR MIS-INTERPRETATION OR
- 21 SOMETHING THAT SEEMS TO ME TO NEED CLARIFICATION THE KINDS
- 22 OF QUESTIONS I HAVE ALREADY ASKED YOU HERE I THINK ARE
- 23 INDICATIVE OF TRYING TO SIMPLY TRYING TO BRING CLARITY TO
- 24 IT, YOU CAN HAVE A COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT HERE, AND THAT
- 25 WILL BE BINDING WHEN WE ARE DONE, IN THE EVENT OF AMBIGUITY
- OR IN THE EVENT DISAGREEMENTS, BUT I AM TRYING TO REMOVE AS
- 27 MANY OF THE AMBIGUITIES AS WE CAN.
- 28 GIVE ME A SENSE OF WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT.

28

1	MR. NITTI: WE HAD A MEETING WITH THE STATE JUST A
2	COUPLE WEEKS AGO.
3	WE DISCUSSED THE EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM THAT IS
4	INSTALLED IN ONE OF THE TRUCKS THE STATE INDICATED THAT NO,
5	IT IS NOT CORRECT.
6	IT IS NOT THE RIGHT EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM FOR THAT
7	TRUCK. MY CLIENT GOT A LETTER FROM THE MANUFACTURER
8	YESTERDAY SHOWING THAT THE STATE SAID JUST THE OPPOSITE
9	OKAY.
10	THE COURT: TO THE MANUFACTURER.
11	MR. NITTI: SO NOW WE HAVE SOMETHING TO TALK ABOUT.
12	I WOULD ASSUME THAT THE MEET AND CONFER MY CLIENT
13	WOULD PRESENT THIS LETTER. THE STATE WOULD PRESENT THAT AND
14	THEN WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IS THE REASON I SAY THE TEN-DAY CURE
15	FOLLOWS IS MY CLIENT CAN AVOID HAVING TO PAY HALF A MILLION
16	BUCKS BY SIMPLY PUTTING THE TRUCK OUT OF SERVICE.
17	SO, THERE IS NO VIOLATION UNTIL WHATEVER THE
18	PROBLEM IS RESOLVED. I DON'T WANT TO SAY THERE IS MEET AND
19	CONFER AND SAY YOU WERE WRONG NOW YOU OWE US \$500,000.
20	THE POINT IS TO RESOLVE DISAGREEMENT.
21	THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND YOUR POINT.
22	MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YOUR HONOR, WHAT HAPPENED WITH THE
23	EXPERIMENTAL DEVICES ACTUALLY THE ARB ISSUED AN EXECUTIVE
24	ORDER CHANGING THE VIN NUMBER WHICH WAS THE PROBLEM THAT THE
25	VIN NUMBER WAS INCORRECT, AND NOW THE TRUCK HAS THE CORRECT
26	EXPERIMENTAL DEVICE.

IF THE SOLUTION TO THE PROBLEM AFTER YOU HAVE MET

THE COURT: LET ME ASK YOU THIS:

- AND CONFERRED IF THE QUICK AND EASY IS TO TAKE THE VEHICLE
- 2 TEMPORARILY OUT OF SERVICE.
- 3 MR. NITTI: WHICH WE WOULD.
- 4 THE COURT: OKAY. DO WE NEED TEN DAYS TO DO THAT?
- 5 MR. NITTI: NO, GO FOR A FEW DAYS.
- 6 THE COURT: CAN WE MAKE THE MEET AND CONFER HAS TO OCCUR
- 7 WITHIN TEN DAYS THAT GIVES YOU TIME FOR NOTICE AND
- 8 INVESTIGATION HOPEFULLY COMMUNICATION AND THEN FIVE DAYS
- 9 THEREAFTER.
- 10 DOES THAT WORK FOR EVERYBODY?
- 11 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YES.
- 12 THE COURT: OKAY, FIVE DAYS THEREAFTER, TO CURE. WE ARE
- 13 TALKING ABOUT IF THE LAST DAY OF ANY OF THESE THINGS IS ON A
- 14 WEEKEND OR A HOLIDAY, IT IS THE NEXT BUSINESS DAY IS WHEN
- 15 THE TIME EXPIRES.
- 16 MR. ONO: YOUR HONOR, I DON'T WANT TO BE THE WET BLANKET
- 17 ON THIS.
- 18 I AM A LITTLE UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE NOTION OF THE
- 19 CURE PERIOD WITH RESPECT TO THE INJUNCTION, AND THIS IS
- 20 SOMETHING I HAVEN'T SPECIFICALLY DISCUSSED WITH THE CLIENT
- 21 BUT.
- 22 THE COURT: WHY DON'T YOU TAKE SOME TIME TO DO IT, BUT
- 23 WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE, LOOK IT IS CONCEIVABLE TO
- 24 ME, AND AGAIN I WON'T TWIST ANYBODY'S ARMS, BUT LET ME JUST
- 25 ARTICULATE PRACTICALITIES.
- 26 NOBODY WANTS TO COME BACK IN TO COURT ON A
- 27 CONTEMPT.
- 28 IT IS A PAIN IN THE BUTT FOR EVERYBODY.

- 1 MR. ONO: I JUST WANT.
- 2 THE COURT: BUT LET ME OFFER THIS THOUGHT.
- 3 IT IS CONCEIVABLE TO ME, THAT A NOTICE COULD BE
- 4 SENT OUT, THAT WOULD REQUIRE AT LEAST A MODICUM OF TIME AT
- 5 LEAST A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF TIME FOR INVESTIGATION, POSSIBLY
- 6 CORRECTION.
- 7 IT MAY BE A PAPERWORK VIOLATION OR SOMETHING.
- 8 IT MAY BE SOMETHING WHERE A PART NEEDS TO BE
- 9 OBTAINED OR SOMETHING, WHERE THE CORRECTIONS NOT NECESSARILY
- 10 PRACTICABLE WITHIN THAT INITIAL TEN DAYS OKAY, AFTER BETWEEN
- 11 WITH THE PROBLEM OF MEETING AND CONFERRING TO DISCUSS IT,
- 12 AND THEN TRYING TO CORRECT IT.
- 13 I MEAN IF THERE IS AN AMBIGUITY IN THE NOTICE OR IF
- 14 THERE IS SOME DISCUSSION AS TO WHAT THE PROBLEM REALLY IS,
- 15 MAYBE IT IS A PARTIAL PROBLEM.
- 16 MAYBE IT HAS BEEN PARTIALLY CORRECT WHATEVER, SO,
- 17 YOU KNOW, WE WANT A PRACTICAL CONSTRUCTION ON THIS.
- MR. ONO: I UNDERSTAND, YOUR HONOR.
- 19 THE COURT: SO I AM OFFERING THIS AS A THOUGHT.
- 20 MR. ONO: I THINK THAT ARB'S CONCERN WOULD BE IN AN
- 21 EXTREME EXAMPLE, AND I AM NOT SUGGESTING THIS IS WHAT WILL
- 22 HAPPEN IN AN EXTREME EXAMPLE THEY WOULD WANT TO AVOID
- OBVIOUSLY A SITUATION WHERE SOMEONE COULD WILLFULLY VIOLATE
- 24 THE INJUNCTION KNOWING THAT THEY HAVE GOT AN OPPORTUNITY TO
- 25 GET THIS NOTICE AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO CURE.
- SO, HEY, IF WE GET CAUGHT I CAN FIX IT. I FIX IT,
- 27 NEXT MONTH. LET'S DO IT AGAIN UNTIL I GET CAUGHT, AND AGAIN
- 28 I AM NOT SUGGESTING THAT WILL HAPPEN.

- 1 THE COURT: I HAVE GOT TO TELL YOU.
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: I WANT TO AVOID.
- 3 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND THAT.
- 4 MR. ONO: THE ALB DOES NOT WANT ITS HANDS TIED IN THAT
- 5 EVENT.
- 6 THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING.
- 7 LET ME OFFER YOU THIS THOUGHT.
- 8 I CAN CONCEIVE OF THAT AS A THEORETICAL
- 9 POSSIBILITY, OKAY, IT IS THEORETICALLY CONCEIVABLE, BUT IF
- 10 YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE A NOTICE, IF YOU ARE GOING TO HAVE A
- 11 MEET AND CONFER REQUIREMENT AND WE TAKE THE TEN DAYS, A
- 12 LITTLE BIT OF ADDITIONAL TIME IS NOT THAT MUCH, AND YOU HAVE
- 13 GOT TO THINK ABOUT NOTIONALLY, THE OTHER SIDE KEY DISPOSAL
- 14 WILL THINK ABOUT THIS IN TERMS OF, DO WE REALLY WANT TO LIVE
- ON THE EDGE ALL THE TIME, BECAUSE EVERY TIME THEY HAVE TO GO
- 16 THROUGH THIS MEET AND CONFER PROCEDURE THAT THERE IS IS AN
- 17 ISSUE THAT IS MONEY OUT OF THEIR POCKET THAT IS ATTORNEYS
- 18 FEES.
- AND I KNOW IT IS AN ISSUE FOR YOU, BUT YOU KNOW,
- 20 PURELY IN PRACTICAL TERMS UNLESS THEY ARE REALLY DESPERATE
- 21 AND TEETERING ON THE EDGE, AND THEY CAN'T DO IT ANY OTHER
- 22 WAY.
- 23 IT DOESN'T MAKE EITHER ECONOMIC OR BUSINESS SENSE
- 24 TO DO IT, AND IF THEY ARE TEETERING ON THE EDGE THAT WAY
- 25 ANYWAY SOONER OR LATER THEY WILL FALL OFF THE EDGE AND YOU
- 26 ARE GOING TO HAVE YOUR JUDGMENT.
- 27 NOTIONALLY, BUT, YOU KNOW, IT SEEMS TO ME NOT
- 28 UN-REASONABLE I WON'T TWIST YOUR ARM OR EITHER PARTY'S ARM,

- 1 BUT THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HAVING IT DONE IN TEN DAYS AND
- 2 15 DAYS IS NOT THAT GREAT.
- 3 YOU MAY WANT A LITTLE BUFF ROOM THERE.
- 4 IT SEEMS TO ME, POSSIBLY A REASONABLE APPROACH TO
- 5 IT IF WE WERE SAYING TEN DAYS AND 30 DAYS I MIGHT SCRATCH MY
- 6 HEAD AND SAY WHY DO WE NEED TO TAKE IT THAT FAR OUT.
- 7 THAT IS MY THOUGHT. YOU ARE WELCOME TO TALK TO
- 8 YOUR CLIENTS ABOUT THIS.
- 9 MR. ONO: I THINK WE WOULD NEED TO DISCUSS IT WITH THEM
- 10 EVEN IF IT IS ONLY FOR A FEW MOMENTS.
- 11 THE COURT: WELL, PLEASE DO.
- 12 I DON'T THINK I HAD ANYTHING ELSE THAT WAS A
- 13 QUESTION.
- 14 SO YOU TALK TO YOUR CLIENTS BECAUSE WHAT I AM GOING
- 15 TO DO IS I WILL GET THE CLIENTS UP HERE AND IDENTIFY
- 16 THEMSELVES, AND THEN I WILL QUESTION THEM INDIVIDUALLY, AS
- 17 TO WHETHER THEY UNDERSTAND AND AGREE TO THESE TERMS. OKAY.
- 18 MR. ONO: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
- 19 (BRIEF RECESS)
- THE COURT: WE HAVE HAD AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONSULT.
- 21 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- 22 MR. NITTI: ARE WE ALL AGREED?
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: WE HAVE AGREED TO THE FIVE-DAY
- 24 CURE.
- MR. NITTI: FOLLOWING THE MEET AND CONFER, YES.
- 26 THE COURT: FIVE DAYS AFTER THE MEET AND CONFER AND.
- MR. NITTI: AND WE DISCUSSED THAT WOULD BE A CURE OR YOU
- 28 KNOW SOMETIMES THAT IS PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE SO A TRUCK CAN

- THE COURT: ANYTHING ELSE WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT HERE?
- 3 MR. ONO: YOU KNOW, I HAVE ALREADY GONE THROUGH ALL THE
- 4 POINTS.
- 5 I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE WITH ALL THIS BUSINESS
- 6 OF THE CURE PERIOD AND WHAT NOT THAT NOTWITHSTANDING THE
- 7 INJUNCTION AND THE COURT'S ABILITY TO ISSUE A CONTEMPT ORDER
- 8 AS A CONSEQUENCE OF ITS DETERMINATION THAT THE INJUNCTION
- 9 HAS BEEN VIOLATED THAT THAT IS NOT GOING TO AMOUNT TO THE
- 10 INJUNCTION DOES NOT WAIVE ARB'S ABILITY TO ADDRESS ANY NEW
- 11 VIOLATIONS.
- 12 THE COURT: OKAY, VERY GOOD.
- 13 LET'S GET MR. KATANGIAN UP HERE, AND WHOEVER THE
- 14 REPRESENTATIVE OF THE PEOPLE, PLEASE.
- 15 IS MRS. KATANGIAN AVAILABLE?
- MR. NITTI: SHE HAS A SIX WEEK OLD BABY, YOUR HONOR.
- 17 I HAVE HER ON CALL, BUT SHE HAS BEEN DISMISSED AS
- 18 PART OF THIS. I CAN SPEAK ON HER BEHALF.
- 19 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT.
- SIR, WOULD YOU INTRODUCE YOURSELF.
- MR. JACOBS: PAUL E. JACOBS, J-A-C-O-B-S.
- 22 THE COURT: WITH WHOM ARE YOU?
- 23 MR. JACOBS: CALIFORNIA RESOURCES BOARD CHIEF OF MOBIL
- 24 SOURCES ENFORCEMENT.
- 25 THE COURT: AND YOU ARE MR. KATANGIAN?
- MR. KATANGIAN: YES.
- 27 THE COURT: PRESIDENT OF KEY DISPOSAL; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 28 MR. KATANGIAN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

- 1 THE COURT: MR. JACOBS, PAUL JACOBS, YOU HAVE BEEN
- 2 PRESENT IN THE COURTROOM THROUGHOUT THIS DISCUSSION OF THE
- 3 TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE SETTLEMENT, ARE THERE ANY
- 4 QUESTIONS THAT YOU THINK YOU WOULD LIKE TO ASK ME ABOUT THE
- 5 TERMS AND CONDITIONS?
- 6 MR. JACOBS: NO, YOUR HONOR.
- 7 THE COURT: HAVE YOU HAD ENOUGH TIME TO SPEAK WITH YOUR
- 8 ATTORNEYS?
- 9 MR. JACOBS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- MR. KATANGIAN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- 11 THE COURT: DO YOU UNDERSTAND ON BEHALF OF THE ARB AND
- 12 AGREE TO EACH OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS THAT WE HAVE
- 13 DISCUSSED?
- 14 MR. JACOBS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- 15 THE COURT: MR. KATANGIAN, YOU HAVE BEEN IN THE
- 16 COURTROOM.
- 17 HAVE YOU HEARD ALL OUR DISCUSSION CONCERNING THE
- 18 TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THIS SETTLEMENT?
- MR. KATANGIAN: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- 20 THE COURT: AND ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS THAT YOU WOULD
- 21 LIKE TO ASK ME ABOUT THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS? ANYTHING YOU
- 22 DON'T UNDERSTAND?
- MR. KATANGIAN: NO, SIR.
- 24 THE COURT: HAVE YOU HAD ENOUGH TIME TO TALK TO YOUR
- 25 ATTORNEY ABOUT THESE SO THAT YOU FEEL YOU ARE FULLY INFORMED
- 26 AND ADVISED?
- 27 MR. KATANGIAN: YES, SIR.
- 28 THE COURT: ON BEHALF OF YOURSELF, INDIVIDUALLY DO YOU

- 1 UNDERSTAND AND AGREE TO EACH OF THESE TERMS AND CONDITIONS
- 2 OF THE SETTLEMENT?
- 3 MR. JACOBS: YES, SIR.
- 4 MR. KATANGIAN: YES.
- 5 THE COURT: ON BEHALF OF KEY DISPOSAL, DO YOU UNDERSTAND
- 6 AND AGREE TO THESE?
- 7 MR. KATANGIAN: YES.
- 8 THE COURT: PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL JOIN.
- 9 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YES.
- 10 THE COURT: DEFENSE COUNSEL JOIN?
- 11 MR. NITTI: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- 12 DEFENSE COUNSEL JOINS.
- 13 THE COURT: OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
- 14 SO I THINK WE HAVE GOT A DEAL, AND THE TRIAL DATE
- 15 IS VACATED, AND WE WILL --
- 16 THE TRANSCRIPT OF OUR PROCEEDINGS HERE TODAY, WILL
- 17 CONTROL IN THE EVENT THERE IS ANY DISPUTE.
- 18 I UNDERSTAND YOU PEOPLE ARE GOING TO WORK THROUGH
- 19 THIS STUFF AND IN THE NEAR FUTURE AND GET IT REDUCED TO AN
- 20 APPROPRIATE WRITING, AND I WILL BE HAPPY TO ADDRESS THIS
- 21 PROMPTLY WHEN YOU HAVE YOUR SUBMISSION TO THE COURT IF IT
- 22 COMES IN AS A JOINT SUBMISSION.
- 23 AND I DON'T HAVE TO WAIT FOR APPROVALS THEN.
- 24 THAT WOULD BE, YOU KNOW, APPROVED AS TO FORM, THAT
- 25 WOULD BE USEFUL, AND YOU CAN PRESENT IT DIRECTLY TO MY CLERK
- 26 UP HERE, AND WE WILL GO AHEAD AND GET THAT EXECUTED AS
- 27 PROMPTLY AS WE CAN.
- 28 ANY QUESTIONS?

1 MR. NITTI: I HAVE ONE QUESTION, YOUR HONOR	1	MR. I	:ITTI	I	HAVE	ONE	QUESTION,	YOUR	HONOR
--	---	-------	-------	---	------	-----	-----------	------	-------

- 2 I MEANT TO BRING UP EARLIER I JUST THOUGHT IT NOW
- 3 FOLLOWING I UNDERSTAND THAT IF A PAYMENT IS NOT MADE, AN
- 4 EX-PARTE APPLICATION WILL BE MADE HERE FOR THE FULL
- 5 JUDGMENT.
- 6 IF THE OTHER EVENT HAPPENS WHICH THERE IS A MEET
- 7 AND CONFER, AND THAT MEET AND CONFER RESULTS IN DISAGREEMENT
- 8 AS TO WHAT IS REQUIRED, I DON'T THINK THAT WOULD BE
- 9 APPROPRIATE TO GO FOR A QUARTER OF A MILLION.
- 10 THE COURT: CERTAINLY THE CONTEMPT REQUIRES A CONTEMPT
- 11 CITATION BUT THAT ONLY DEALS WITH CONTEMPT.
- 12 MR. NITTI: TO ENFORCE A JUDGMENT AFTER A MEET AND
- 13 CONFER.
- 14 THE COURT: BUT IF THERE IS AN UNRESOLVED ISSUE HERE,
- 15 AFTER THE MEET AND CONFER, ARE YOU LOOKING AT, THAT AS A
- 16 CIRCUMSTANCE THAT WOULD AUTOMATICALLY TRIGGER THE PENALTY
- 17 PROVISION?
- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YES, YOUR HONOR, BUT OBVIOUSLY IT
- 19 IS NOT UP TO THE ARB TO USURP YOUR AUTHORITY AND SAY MAKE
- 20 THE DETERMINATION THAT THEY ARE IN BREACH OF THE INJUNCTION.
- WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE TO COME TO YOU, AND THERE HAS TO
- 22 BE A DETERMINATION MADE BY THE COURT.
- 23 THE COURT: LET ME POSIT, LET ME PUT THE ISSUE SLIGHTLY
- 24 DIFFERENTLY.
- 25 I UNDERSTAND IF YOU DON'T GET PAID YOU WANT TO
- 26 ENFORCE THE FULL AMOUNT.
- BUT IF THERE IS A NON-MONETARY TERM THAT IS NOT
- 28 COMPLIED WITH, OKAY, IS THAT THE KIND OF THING THAT YOU

1	WOULD	EXPECT	TO	BRING	TO	THE	COURT	S	ATTENTION?
---	-------	--------	----	-------	----	-----	-------	---	------------

- MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: YES, YOUR HONOR.
- 3 THE COURT: AND SEEK SOME ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM.
- 4 MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: IF THERE IS A BREACH, AN UNRESOLVED
- 5 BREACH, IF THERE IS A BREACH OF THE INJUNCTION, AND THE
- 6 COURT MAKES THE DETERMINATION OBVIOUSLY THAT THE INJUNCTION
- 7 HAS BEEN BREACHED, THEN THAT BREACH TRIGGERS THE STIPULATED
- 8 JUDGMENT JUST AS SURELY AS NON-PAYMENT.
- 9 THE COURT: SO IT REQUIRES A COURT DETERMINATION OF
- 10 NON-COMPLIANCE.
- OKAY, SO, IF THERE IS A DISAGREEMENT THAT DOES NOT
- 12 TRIGGER FILING THE JUDGMENT, IT REQUIRES A DETERMINATION BY
- 13 THE COURT THAT THERE IS NON-COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERM OF THE
- 14 INJUNCTION, AND LET ME SAY IT HERE, WHICH SUGGESTS A HEARING
- 15 ON WHETHER OR NOT THERE HAS BEEN --
- 16 YOU DON'T AUTOMATICALLY FILE EX PARTE. YOU SAY WE
- 17 HAVE A DISAGREEMENT.
- 18 AT THE MEET AND CONFER YOU DON'T AUTOMATICALLY FILE
- 19 EX PARTE FOR THE JUDGMENT IT AWAITS THE COURT'S
- 20 DETERMINATION, AND THEN THE COURT'S DETERMINATION WHETHER
- 21 THERE HAS BEEN A BREACH MAY, IF THE COURT DETERMINES THERE
- 22 HAS BEEN A BREACH, REGARDLESS WHATEVER IT DOES WITH RESPECT
- 23 TO THE INJUNCTION, BUT THAT DETERMINATION IS WHAT TRIGGERS
- 24 THE RIGHT TO GET THE MONETARY PROVISIONS AND THE MONETARY
- 25 PROVISIONS, THE MONETARY REMEDY THAT IS THE JUDGMENT GOES IN
- 26 TO EFFECT IS SEPARATE AND APART FROM WHATEVER THE COURT MAY
- 27 DO WITH RESPECT TO ENFORCEMENT OF THE INJUNCTION; IS THAT
- 28 CORRECT?

1	MR. ONO: I AM NOT ENTIRELY SURE I FOLLOWED THAT VERY
2	WELL, MAYBE MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER WOULD.
3	THE COURT: WHAT I HEARD YOU SAY SUGGESTS THAT THE FACT
4	THAT YOU MAY HAVE A DISAGREEMENT AFTER A MEET AND CONFER AS
5	TO WHETHER THE INJUNCTION HAS BEEN VIOLATED, THE EXISTENCE
6	OF AN UNRESOLVED DISAGREEMENT DOES NOT IN AND OF ITSELF GIVE
7	YOU THE RIGHT TO GO IN EX-PARTE WITHOUT NOTICE TO TRANSLATE
8	THE STIPULATED JUDGMENT INTO AN ENTERED JUDGMENT FOR HALF A
9	MILLION?
10	MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: CORRECT.
11	THE COURT: OKAY. IF THERE IS A BREACH, IF YOU BELIEVE
12	THAT THERE IS A BREACH OF THE INJUNCTION, YOU NEED THE
13	COURT'S DETERMINATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A BREACH OF THE
14	INJUNCTION, BEFORE YOU CAN GET THAT HALF A MILLION JUDGMENT
15	ENTERED, AND IF THE COURT DETERMINES THAT THERE IS A BREACH,
16	OF THE INJUNCTION, AND YOU GET YOUR HALF MILLION JUDGMENT
17	THAT IS INDEPENDENT OF ANYTHING THE COURT MAY SEE FIT TO DO
18	WITH RESPECT TO ENFORCING THE INJUNCTION?
19	MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: CORRECT.
20	THE COURT: OKAY.
21	I UNDERSTAND THAT, SO WHAT THAT SUGGESTS IS,
22	DEFENSE, IS THAT THEY CAN'T JUST COME IN EX PARTE IF THERE
23	IS A DIFFERENCE IN THE MEET AND CONFER THEY CAN'T COME IN EX
24	PARTE AND SAY WE DISAGREE WITH THE MEET AND CONFER.
25	WE ARE ENTITLED TO THE HALF MILLION JUDGMENT.
26	IT REQUIRES THE COURT DETERMINATION IF THERE HAS

BEEN, IN FACT, A VIOLATION OF THE TERMS OF THE INJUNCTION AS

THE PREDICATE FOR GETTING THE HALF A MILLION JUDGMENT UNDER

27

	THOSE CIRCUMSTANCES, AND THAT IS WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AND
1	REGARDLESS WHAT THE COURT MAY DO OR MAY NOT DO, WITH RESPECT
2	REGARDLESS WHAT THE COURT FAT BOOK ORDER.
.3	TO OTHER ENFORCEMENT OF THE INJUNCTIVE ORDER.
4	MR. NITTI: RIGHT. THEN I BELIEVE MY UNDERSTANDING IS
5	THAT THAT WOULD REQUIRE A NOTICED MOTION SO WE WOULD HAVE AN
6	OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD.
7	THE COURT: THEY WOULD HAVE TO COME IN ON NOTICE, WITH
8	RESPECT TO ENFORCEMENT OF THE INJUNCTION AND HAVE IT FOR THE
9	COURT TO COME IN TO MAKE A DETERMINATION IF IT HAD BEEN
10	VIOLATED.
11	MR. NITTI: WE WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD.
12	THE COURT: ABSOLUTELY. MR. JACOBS, DO YOU UNDERSTAND
13	WHAT WE HAVE JUST DISCUSSED?
14	MR. JACOBS: YES, YOUR HONOR.
15	THE COURT: MR. KATANGIAN?
16	MR. KATANGIAN: YES.
17	THE COURT: YOU AGREE TO IT AS WELL?
18	MR. KATANGIAN: YES. OKAY.
19	MR. GOLDEN-KRASNER: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
20	I APPRECIATE YOU AND YOUR STAFF WORKING THROUGH
21	THEIR LUNCH HOUR. THANK YOU, SO MUCH.
22	(PROCEEDINGS CONCLUDED)
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES HONORABLE ROBERT L HESS, JUDGE DEPT. LA 24 PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL., THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES) BOARD, PLAINTIFF, .) REPORTER'S) CERTIFICATE)BC 393098 -VS-KEY DISPOSAL, INC., A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION; JOHN KATANGIAN, AN INDIVIDUAL; SHELLINE "SHELLY" KATANGIAN) AN INDIVIDUAL; AND DOES 1-50, INCLUSIVE,) DEFENDANTS. STATE OF CALIFORNIA) SS COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)

I, CAROL L. CRAWLEY, OFFICIAL REPORTER OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES, 1-24, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS HELD ON MAY 26, 2009, IN DEPARTMENT 24 OF THE LOS ANGELES COURT IN THE MATTER OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE.

DATED THIS 10TH DAY OF JUNE, 2009.

, CSR #7518 CAROL CRAWLEY, OFFICIAL REPORTER

1 2 3 4 5	EDMUND G. BROWN JR, Attorney General of the State of California RICHARD MAGASIN, Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL W. HUGHES (SBN 242330) NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER (SBN 217556) Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2614	EXEMPT FROM FILING FEES (GOV. CODE § 6103)
6	Facsimile: (213) 897-2802	
7 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, <i>ex rel.</i> , the California Air Resources Board	
9 10 11 12 13	LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS A NITTI THOMAS A NITTI, (SBN 77590) 1250 Sixth Street, Suite 205 Santa Monica, CA 90401 Telephone: (310) 393-1524 Facsimile: (310) 576-3581 Attorneys for Defendants	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	500 AS	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
15	COUNTY OF I	LOS ANGELES
16		
17 18 19	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel., THE CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD, Plaintiff,	Case No. BC 393098 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER
20	v.	Dept: 24 Assigned to: Hon. Judge Robert L. Hess
21 22	KEY DISPOSAL, INC., a California corporation; JOHN KATANGIAN, an individual,	
23 24	Defendants.	
25		
26		
27		
28		

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

On May 26, 2009, before the Honorable Robert Hess in Department 24 of the Los Angeles County Superior Court (Court) Plaintiff THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel., CALIFORNIA AIR RESOURCES BOARD (ARB) and Defendants KEY DISPOSAL, INC. JOHN KATANGIAN (Defendants), and SHELLINE KATANGIAN (Collectively "Parties") entered into a Settlement of the above captioned case in open court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 (Settlement). The material terms of the Settlement including imposition of a Court Ordered injunction were set forth on the record. That Settlement is now more fully set forth below.

SETTLEMENT TERMS

The Parties, after opportunity for review by counsel, hereby agree to the following Settlement terms as set forth below. In the event of any dispute of the Settlement's terms, the Settlement terms set forth on the record on May 26, 2009, will be determinative of those terms.

1. JURISDICTION

The Court will retain jurisdiction over the Parties pursuant to the Code of Civil Procedure Section 664.6 to enforce the Parties' Settlement.

2. JUDGMENT

Defendants each agree to a Judgment against them jointly and severally in the amount of \$500,000 in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A (Judgment). Such Judgment shall be stayed contingent upon the Defendants' compliance with the monthly payment requirements of this Settlement totaling two hundreed and fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) in penalty payments in equal amounts over a 24 month period, and compliance with the Injunction Ordered by this Court on [ADD] date, and more fully described at section 5 below.

3. SHELLINE KATANGIAN

3.1 ARB hereby agrees to dismiss Shelline Katangian from this case pursuant to the terms set forth in sections 3.2 and 3.3 below, and she shall therefore not be a party to this Settlement except for purposes of this dismissal and all other terms pursuant to Section 3 of this Settlement.

- 3.2 Shelline Katangian shall bear her own costs and attorneys' fees associated with the above captioned case.
- 3.3 Shelline Katangian covenants not to sue or pursue any civil or administrative claims against the ARB or other departments or agencies of the State of California, or their officers, employees, representatives, agents or attorneys arising out of or related to the above captioned case. Shelline Katangian hereby releases the ARB and its respective Representatives from any and all liability arising from or related to the above captioned case. This release applies to all claims, whether known or unknown by Shelline Katangian at the time of the execution of this agreement. and Shelline Katangian agrees to waive any rights she might have to pursue any claims subject to Civil Code Section 1542.

4. PENALTY PAYMENTS

4.1 On or before July 1, 2009, Defendants shall pay the ARB the sum of two hundred and fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000) in penalty payments in equal amounts over a 24 month period, with the first payment due on July 1, 2009, and each subsequent payment due on the first of each month. All payments shall be payable by check to the "California Air Pollution Control Fund" to be delivered to the following address:

Gretchen Ratliff
California Air Resources Board
Enforcement Division
9460 Telstar Avenue
El Monte, California 91731

4.2 If the Defendants miss any of the monthly payments, or fail to pay any monthly payment in full, then the ARB or its counsel shall give notice by facsimile and by mail to Defendants' counsel identified in Section 9 below that the Defendants shall have ten (10) days to cure the violation and make the payment (Cure Period).

4.3 If Defendants fail to pay the full amount within the Cure Period, then the ARB or its counsel may make an ex-parte application, without further notice, to the Court seeking to have the Stipulated Judgment entered and to collect upon that Judgment less credit for any monies already received pursuant to Section 4.1 above

5. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

The Court shall enter an injunction order (Injunction) on the following terms:

- 5.1 On or before September 29, 2009, Defendants shall bring their entire fleet of Solid Waste Collection Vehicles as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 13, section 2021, including any vehicles added to that fleet, which are owned or leased in whole or in part, by any of the Defendants (SWCV Fleet), and their entire fleet of heavy-duty diesel-powered vehicles as defined by California Code of Regulations, title 13, section 2191, including any vehicles added to that fleet, which are owned or leased in whole or in part, by any of the Defendants (PSIP Fleet), into full compliance with:
 - a. California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2190 through 2194 (the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program);
 - California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2020 et seq. (the Solid Waste
 Collection Vehicle rules).
- 5.2 Defendants may take any vehicle which is not brought into compliance by September 29, 2009, pursuant to this Section, out of service by i) immediately ceasing the use of the vehicle on the streets or highways of the State of California, and ii) sending a written affidavit by facsimile and by mail to the Air Resources Board at the address listed in section 4.1 stating that the vehicle is not and will not be used on the streets or highways of the State of California at any time until the vehicle is brought into compliance with the Solid Waste Collection Vehicle rules and the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program, which compliance shall be verified by the Air Resources Board on a mutually agreeable date.
- **5.3** The Air Resources Board shall inspect the Defendants' SWCV Fleet and PSIP Fleet as described in paragraph 5.1 above to determine the Defendants' compliance with section 5.1 of this agreement, on a mutually agreeable date on or before September 29, 2009.
- 5.4 In addition, Defendants shall maintain their entire SWCV Fleet and PSIP Fleet in full compliance with:
 - California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2190 through 2194 (the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program);

- California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2020 et seq. (the Solid Waste Collection Vehicle rules).
- 5.5 If the Air Resources Board believes that any violations of sections 5.1, 5.2, and/or 5.4 of this agreement have taken place, then it or its counsel shall meet and confer with Defendants or Defendants' counsel, in an attempt to resolve any dispute without Court intervention.
- 5.6 If after the meet and confer takes place the Air Resources Board believes that a violation of the injunction has not been resolved, then it or its counsel shall give notice to Defendants' counsel by facsimile and by mail that the Defendants shall have five (5) days to cure the violation of the injunction (Injunction Cure Period). The facsimile and mail shall be made to the following person at the following address and facsimile number [Tom to Add]
- 5.7 If the ARB determines that the alleged violation(s) of sections 5.1, 5.2, and/or 5.4 above have not been cured following the expiration of the Injunction Cure Period, then the Air Resources Board may bring a regularly noticed Motion in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure section 1005 to ask the Court to determine what, if any, violation(s) of section(s) 5.1, 5.2, and/or 5.4 above have occurred.
- 5.8 If the Court determines that any violation(s) of the Injunction has occurred, then the Air Resources Board shall be entitled immediately to enter the agreed upon Judgment and to collect upon that Judgment less credit for any monies already received pursuant to the Section 4.1 above.
- 5.9 If the Air Resources Board determines that any violation(s) of the Injunction has occurred, then the Air Resources Board shall also be entitled to take any and all appropriate action, including, but not limited to revoking the registration(s) of the offending vehicle(s) pursuant to Vehicle Code section 4755 and/or bringing a separate action in any court of competent jurisdiction against Defendants for such violations, notwithstanding any other remedy provided herein.
- 5.10 The Court shall also have the power to Order any further remedy the Court deems necessary to enforce its Injunction Order against the Defendants, including, but not limited to, instituting contempt proceedings.

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

6.1 The ARB shall request the Department of Motor Vehicles to release the registration holds currently in effect on Defendants' vehicles once those vehicles have been determined by ARB to be in compliance with:

- a. California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2190 through 2194 (the Periodic Smoke Inspection Program); and,
- California Code of Regulations, title 13, sections 2020 et seq. (the Solid Waste
 Collection Vehicle rules).

7. TRAINING

Defendant John Katangian and any other person responsible for compliance with ARB's Periodic Smoke Inspection Program or Solid Waste Collection Vehicle rules shall complete the California Council on Diesel Education and Technology (CCDET) class, as described on the ARB's webpage at www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/hdvip/hdvip.htm. This class is conducted by various California Community Colleges throughout the State. Proof of CCDET completion shall be provided to the ARB within one hundred and twenty (120) days of the signing of this agreement and shall also be maintained in each applicable employee's file for the term of his or her employment by Defendants.

8. PRESS RELEASE

The ARB may issue any standard press release following the signing of this agreement

9. NOTICE

All submissions and notices required by this agreement shall be sent to: For the Air Resources Board:

Noah Golden-Krasner, Esq. Allan Ono, Esq. Deputy Attorneys General Office of the Attorney General 300 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor Los Angeles, California 90013 Phone: (213) 897-2614

Fax: (213) 897-2802 E-mail: Noah.GoldenKrasner@doj.ca.gov

For the Defendant:

[Tom to add]

Any Party may change its notice name and address by informing the other Parties in writing, but no change is effective until it is received.

10. EFFECT OF JUDGMENT

Except as expressly provided in this agreement, nothing in this agreement is intended nor shall it be construed to preclude the ARB, or any state, county, or local agency, department, board or entity, or any CUPA, from exercising its authority under any law, statute or regulation.

11. NO WAIVER OF RIGHT TO ENFORCE

The failure of the ARB to enforce any provision of this agreement shall neither be deemed a waiver of such provision nor in any way affect the validity of this agreement. The failure of the ARB to enforce any such provision shall not preclude it from later enforcing the same or any other provision of this agreement. No oral advice, guidance, suggestions or comments by employees or officials of any Party regarding matters covered in this agreement shall be construed to relieve any Party of its obligations under this agreement.

12. REGULATORY CHANGES

Nothing in this agreement shall excuse Defendants from meeting any more stringent requirements that may be imposed by changes in the applicable law or regulation.

13. AUTHORITY TO ENTER AGREEMENT

Each signatory to this agreement certifies that he or she is fully authorized by each Party he or she represents to enter into this agreement, to execute it on behalf of the Party represented and legally to bind that Party.

14. NON-DISCHARGEABILITY OF OBLIGATIONS

Payments required by this agreement are not dischargeable in bankruptcy.

15. PAYMENT OF LITIGATION EXPENSES AND FEES.

Defendants shall pay their own attorney fees, expert witness fees and costs and all other costs of litigation and investigation incurred to date. COUNTERPART SIGNATURES This agreement may be executed by the Parties in counterpart. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT This Consent Judgment may be modified only by the Court, or upon written consent by the Parties and with the approval of the Court. Computation of Time If the last day for the performance of any act provided or required by this Settlement falls on a weekend or holiday, then that period is extended to the next business day. "Holiday" means all holidays specified in Code of Civil Procedure section 135, and to the extent provided in Code of Civil Procedure section 12b, all days that by terms of section 12b are required to be considered as holidays. IT IS SO AGREED.

- 1		
1	Dated: June, 200	9 Respectfully Submitted,
2		EDMUND G. BROWN JR. Attorney General of California RICHARD J. MAGASIN
4		Supervising Deputy Attorney General
5		
6		NOAH GOLDEN-KRASNER
7		Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for California Air Resources Board
8		Boura
10	Dated: June, 200	Respectfully Submitted,
11		LAW OFFICES OF THOMAS A NITTI
12		
13		THOMAS A NITTI
14		Attorneys for Defendants
15	FOR THE AIR RESOURC	EES BOARD:
16 17	TOR THE THREE STATE	
18	Dated: June, 20	09
19		
20		JAMES N. GOLDSTENE
21		EXECUTIVE OFFICER
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		TARROCAL ING AND JOHN WATANCIAN
27	FOR DEFENDANTS KEY DISPOSAL INC. AND JOHN KATANGIAN	
28		8
		Settlement Agreement and Order

1	Dated: June		
2			
3			
4	John Platen		
5	JOHN KATANGIAN		
6	2 ×		
7	Dated: June		
8			
9	0.0.01		
10	Stellie Katargian		
11			
12			
13	The Court having received the above stated Settlement hereby:		
14			
15	 Approves the Settlement and Orders that it be entered as a legal and binding Settlement. Will retain jurisdiction over the Parties pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 		
16			
17			
18	664.6 to enforce the Parties' Settlement.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED		
20	Date: 2009		
21	Honorable Robert L. Hess Judge, California Superior Court		
22	Judge, Camorina Superior Court		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	9		
	7.		

Settlement Agreement and Order